QUADRATIC SYSTEMS WITH AN INVARIANT ALGEBRAIC CURVE OF DEGREE 3 AND A DARBOUX INVARIANT
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Abstract. Let $QS$ be the class of non-degenerate planar quadratic differential systems and $QS_3$ its subclass formed by the systems possessing an invariant cubic $f(x,y) = 0$. In this article, using the action of the group of real affine transformations and time rescaling on $QS$, we obtain all the possible normal forms for the quadratic systems in $QS_3$. Working with these normal forms we complete the characterization of the phase portraits in $QS_3$ having a Darboux invariant of the form $f(x,y)e^{st}$, with $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

1. Introduction and statements of results

Even after hundreds of studies on the topology of real planar quadratic vector fields the complete characterization of their phase portraits is a quite complex task. This family of systems depends on twelve parameters but, after affine transformations and time rescaling, we arrive at families with five parameters, which is still a big number of parameters. Many subclasses have been considered.

Denote by $\mathbb{R}[x,y]$ the ring of the real polynomials in the variables $x$ and $y$. Consider the differential system in $\mathbb{R}^2$ given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= P(x,y), & \dot{y} &= Q(x,y),
\end{align*}
\]

where $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}[x,y]$. Here the dot denotes derivative with respect to the time $t$ and the degree of system (1.1) is $m = \max\{\deg P, \deg Q\}$.

When $m = 2$ we say that system (1.1) is a quadratic polynomial differential system or simply a quadratic system. More than one thousand papers have been published about quadratic systems, see for instance [15] for a bibliographical survey. The quadratic systems appear in the modeling of many natural phenomena described in different branches of science, in biological and physical applications. Besides the applications the quadratic systems became a matter of interest for the mathematicians. Considering algebraic invariant curves, some authors have published on the subject, see for instance [3] and [11]. In the first one the authors studied cubic systems with invariant straight lines of total multiplicity eight that have three distinct infinite singularities. The second paper is dedicated to study the normal forms and global phase portraits of quadratic and cubic integrable systems when they have two nonconcentric circles as invariant algebraic curves. The global
phase portrait of a quadratic system is also investigated in [13], where the authors
classified all global phase portraits of Bernoulli quadratic polynomial differential
systems in $\mathbb{R}^2$.

In this paper we assume that the polynomials $P$ and $Q$ are coprime, otherwise
system (1.1) can be reduced to a linear or constant system doing a rescaling of the
time variable.

The first objective of this paper is to characterize all quadratic systems having
invariant cubics. Then using the normal forms obtained, we investigate which
systems have a Darboux invariant either of the form $e^{st} f_1^{\lambda_1} f_2^{\lambda_2} f_3^{\lambda_3}$ if the cubic is
the product of three straight lines $f_1 = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ or of the form $e^{st} f_1^{\lambda_1} f_2^{\lambda_2}$ if the cubic is the product of one straight line $f_1 = 0$ and an irreducible conic $f_2 = 0$
or of the form $e^{st} f_1^{\lambda_1}$ if $f_1 = 0$ is an irreducible cubic.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we present our main results. They
are divided in two subsections. In section 3 we present definitions and results that
are used for proving our main results. Finally in sections 5, 6 and 7 we prove the
main results.

2. Statement of the main results

Since the cubic curves can be classified as reducible and irreducible curves (ac-
cording to the polynomial defining the curve admits factorization or not), we split
the obtained results in two subsections. In the first one we consider planar quadratic
systems having irreducible cubics and in the second one, the reducible ones.

Theorem 2.1. Each quadratic system admitting an irreducible invariant cubic
after an affine change of coordinates and a rescaling of the time variable can be
written as one of the following systems, where $a, b, c, d$ and $r$ are real numbers,

(i) $\dot{x} = 2(ax + by + dxy + cx^2),
\dot{y} = 3(ay + bx^2 + cxy + dy^2),$
(ii) $\dot{x} = 2(ax + by + (3b - 2c)xy + ax^2),
\dot{y} = 2bx + 2ay + 2cx^2 + 3axy + (9b - 6c)y^2,$
(iii) $\dot{x} = 2(ax - by + (3b + 2c)xy - ax^2),
\dot{y} = 2bx + 2ay + 2cx^2 - 3axy + (9b + 6c)y^2,$
(iv) $\dot{x} = 2y(a + bx),
\dot{y} = ar - 2(ar + a + br)x + (3a + br + b)x^2 + 3by^2,$
(v) $\dot{x} = 2y(b + cx),
\dot{y} = b + 2(br - c)x + (3b - cr)x^2 + 3cy^2.$

Theorem 2.2. Each quadratic system admitting an irreducible invariant cubic
having a Darboux invariant can be written after an affine change of coordinates and a rescaling of the time variable as

$$\dot{x} = x + y, \quad \dot{y} = \frac{3}{2}y + x^2. \tag{2.1}$$

After the change of coordinates, $y^2 - (2/3)x^3$ is the invariant algebraic curve and
the Darboux invariant is given by $I_1(t, x, y) = e^{-3t} \left( \frac{2}{3}x^3 + y^2 \right)$. The global phase
portrait of such system is given in Figure 1.

Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 are proved in section 5.
2.1. **Reducible invariant cubics.** Each reducible cubic can be written as the product of two polynomials one of degree two and the other of degree one. The conics can be classified in ellipses (E), complex ellipses (CE), hyperbolas (H), parabolas (P), two real straight lines intersecting in a point, two real parallel straight lines (PL), one double invariant real straight line (DL), two complex straight lines intersecting in a real point (P), and two complex parallel straight lines (CL). So the normal forms of the reducible cubics, except to an affine transformation, are

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(E)} & \quad (x^2 + y^2 - 1)(ax + by + c) = 0, \\
\text{(CE)} & \quad (x^2 + y^2 + 1)(ax + by + c) = 0, \\
\text{(H)} & \quad (x^2 - y^2 - 1)(ax + by + c) = 0, \\
\text{(P)} & \quad (y - x^2)(ax + by + c) = 0, \\
\text{(LV)} & \quad xy(ax + by + c) = 0, \\
\text{(PL)} & \quad (x^2 - 1)(ax + by + c) = 0, \\
\text{(DL)} & \quad x^2(ax + by + c) = 0, \\
\text{(CL)} & \quad (x^2 + 1)(ax + by + c) = 0, \\
\text{(p)} & \quad (x^2 + y^2)(ax + by + c) = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

We shall say that a quadratic system is of type (E) if it has a real ellipse and a straight line as invariant irreducible algebraic curves; of type (CE) if it has a complex ellipse and a straight line as invariant irreducible algebraic curves, and respectively with all the nine types of conics described above.

The first result of this paper classifies the quadratic systems having a reducible invariant cubic.

**Theorem 2.3.** If a quadratic system \([1.]\) has a reducible invariant cubic then it can be written, after an affine change of coordinates, into one of the following forms

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(CE)} \quad & \quad \dot{x} = -(x^2 + y^2 + 1) - 2\alpha_1 y(y + ax + c), \\
& \quad \dot{y} = a(x^2 + y^2 + 1) + 2\alpha_1 x(y + ax + c), \\
\text{(E.1)} \quad & \quad \dot{x} = -(x^2 + y^2 - 1) - 2\alpha_1 y(y + ax + c), \\
& \quad \dot{y} = a(x^2 + y^2 - 1) + 2\alpha_1 x(y + ax + c), \\
\text{(E.2)} \quad & \quad \dot{x} = (\beta_1/2)(x^2 + y^2 - 1) - y(\beta_2 y - \alpha_2 x + c\beta_2), \\
& \quad \dot{y} = (y + c)(\alpha_2 y - \beta_2 cx + \alpha_2), \text{ where } \alpha_2(c + 1) = 0, \\
\text{(H.1)} \quad & \quad \dot{x} = (\beta_1/2)(x^2 - y^2 - 1) + \beta_2 y(y + c), \\
& \quad \dot{y} = \beta_2 y(y + c), \\
\text{(H.2)} \quad & \quad \dot{x} = (x + c)(\alpha_2 x + \gamma_2 y + \alpha_2), \\
& \quad \dot{y} = -(\gamma_2/2)(x^2 - y^2 - 1) + x(\gamma_2 x + \alpha_2 y + c\gamma_2), \text{ where } \alpha_2(c + 1) = 0, \\
\text{(H.3)} \quad & \quad \dot{x} = (A/2)(x^2 - y^2 - 1) - y(\alpha - c\beta + x(\beta - ca) - y^2(\gamma - ca)), \\
& \quad \dot{y} = (A/2)(x^2 - y^2 - 1) - x(\alpha - c\beta + \beta x + y(\gamma - ca)) + ca(y^2 + 1), \text{ where } c(\gamma + \beta) = 0.
\end{align*}
\]
Theorem 2.4. The global phase portrait in the Poincaré disc of each quadratic differential system admitting a reducible invariant cubic $f(x,y) = 0$ and having a Darboux invariant of the form $e^{-st}f(x,y)$ is topologically equivalent to one of the phase portraits presented in Figures 2-7. Their normal forms according to Theorem 2.3 are labelled in the corresponding figure.

Theorem 2.5. Systems of type (CE), (E.1), (H.1), (H.5), (P.3) do not admit Darboux invariants of the form $e^{-st}f(x,y)$.

3. Preliminary and basic results

The goal of this section is introduce some definitions and results which are used in next sections for the study of the Darboux invariants and to obtain the global phase portrait of the systems of Theorems 2.2 and 2.3.

3.1. Invariants. A nonconstant $C^1$ function $H : U = \mathbb{R}$, defined in the open and dense set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, is a first integral of system (1.1) on $U$ if $H(x(t), y(t))$ is constant for all of the values of $t$ for which $(x(t), y(t))$ is a solution of system (1.1) contained...
Figure 2. Phase portraits of systems of type $(E)$ and $(H)$ when they have a Darboux invariant. Phase portraits EL.2.1 and EL.2.2 correspond to system (E.2); HL.2.1–HL.2.3 correspond to system (H.2); HL.3.1–HL.3.9 correspond to system (H.3). The dashed lines denote a curve filled of singular points.

in $U$. In other words, $H$ is a first integral of system (1.1) if and only if

$$P \frac{\partial H}{\partial x} + Q \frac{\partial H}{\partial y} = 0, \quad \text{for all } (x, y) \in U.$$  

An invariant of system (1.1) on the open subset $U$ of $\mathbb{R}^2$ is a nonconstant $C^1$ function $I$ in the variables $x,y$ and $t$ such that $I(x(t), y(t), t)$ is constant on all solution curves $(x(t), y(t))$ of system (1.1) contained in $U$, i.e.

$$\frac{\partial I}{\partial x} P + \frac{\partial I}{\partial y} Q + \frac{\partial I}{\partial t} = 0, \quad \text{for all } (x, y) \in U.$$  

On the other hand, given $f \in \mathbb{C}[x,y]$, we say that the curve $f(x,y) = 0$ is an invariant algebraic curve of system (1.1) if there exists $K \in \mathbb{C}[x,y]$ such that

$$P \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + Q \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = Kf.$$  

Figure 3. Phase portraits of systems of type (P) when they have a Darboux invariant. Phase portraits PL.1.1–PL.1.24 correspond to system (P.1).
Figure 4. Phase portraits of systems of type $(P)$ when they have a Darboux invariant. Phase portraits PL.1.25–PL.1.30 correspond to system (P.1); PL.2.1–PL.2.11 correspond to system (P.2). The dashed lines denote a curve filled of singular points.

The polynomial $K$ is called the cofactor of the invariant algebraic curve $f = 0$. When $K = 0$, $f$ is a polynomial first integral. Note that if a real polynomial differential system has a complex invariant algebraic curve then it has also its conjugate. It is important to consider the complex invariant algebraic curves of the
Figure 5. Phase portraits of systems of type \((LV)\) when they have a Darboux invariant. Phase portraits LVL.1.1–LVL.1.6 correspond to system \((LV.1)\); LVL.2.1–LVL.2.17 correspond to system \((LV.2)\). The dashed lines denote a curve filled of singular points.
Figure 6. Phase portraits of systems of type (RPL) and (DL) when they have a Darboux invariant. Phase portraits RPL.1–RPL.17 correspond to system (RPL); DL.1–DL.3 correspond to system (DL). The dashed lines denote a curve filled of singular points.

real systems because sometimes these force the real integrability of the system, for more details see Chapter 8 of [9], or the subsection 3.2.
Let \( f, g \in \mathbb{C}[x, y] \) and assume that \( f \) and \( g \) are relatively prime in the ring \( \mathbb{C}[x, y] \), or that \( g = 1 \). Then the function \( \exp(f/g) \) is called a \textit{exponential factor} of system \( (1.1) \) if for some polynomial \( L \in \mathbb{C}[x, y] \) of degree at most \( m - 1 \) we have

\[
P \frac{\partial \exp(f/g)}{\partial x} + Q \frac{\partial \exp(f/g)}{\partial y} = L \exp(f/g).
\]

As previously we say that \( L \) is the \textit{cofactor} of the exponential factor \( \exp(f/g) \). We observe that in the definition of exponential factor \( \exp(f/g) \) if \( f, g \in \mathbb{C}[x, y] \) then the exponential factor is a complex function. Again when we look for a complex exponential factor of a real polynomial system we are thinking the real polynomial system as a complex polynomial system.

### 3.2. Darboux invariants

An invariant \( I \) is called a \textit{Darboux invariant} if it can be written into the form

\[
I(t, x, y) = f_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots f_p^{\lambda_p} F_1^{\mu_1} \cdots F_q^{\mu_q} e^{st},
\]

where \( f_i = 0 \) are invariant algebraic curves of system \( (1.1) \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, p \), and \( F_j \) are exponential factors of system \( (1.1) \) for \( j = 1, \ldots, q \). \( \lambda_i, \mu_j \in \mathbb{C} \) and \( s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \). Observe that, if among the invariant algebraic curves a complex conjugate pair
function for some factors exp$(f)$ invariant algebraic curves is an invariant algebraic curve for each (1.1)

Proposition 3.2. Suppose that a polynomial system (1.1) is an invariant algebraic curve over $\mathbb{C}[x,y]$. Then for a polynomial differential system (1.1), $f = 0$ is an invariant algebraic curve with cofactor $k_f$ if and only if $f_1 = 0$ is an invariant algebraic curve for each $i = 1, \ldots, r$ with cofactor $k_{f_i}$. Moreover $k_f = n_1 k_{f_1} + \ldots + n_r k_{f_r}$.

The next result, proved in [9, Proposition 8.4], the existence of a Darboux invariant of system (1.1) allows to draw its phase portrait. Here we investigate the existence of invariants of the form $\exp(g/y)h_j$ with cofactors $L_j$ for $j = 1, \ldots, q$, then, if there exist $\lambda_i$ and $\mu_j \in \mathbb{C}$ not all zero such that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_i k_i + \sum_{j=1}^{q} \mu_j L_j = -s, \tag{3.3}
$$

for some $s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, then substituting $f_i^{\lambda_i}$ by $|f_i|^{\lambda_i}$ if $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$, the real (multi-valued) function

$$
f_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots f_p^{\lambda_p} \left( \exp \left( \frac{g_1}{h_1} \right) \right)^{\mu_1} \cdots \left( \exp \left( \frac{g_q}{h_q} \right) \right)^{\mu_q} e^{st}
$$

is a Darboux invariant of system (1.1).

The search of first integrals is a classic tool in order to describe phase portraits of a 2–dimensional differential system. As usual the phase portrait of a system is the decomposition of the domain of definition of this system as union of all its orbits. It is well known that the existence of a first integral or an a invariant for a planar differential system allow to draw its phase portrait. Here we investigate the existence of invariants of the form $f(x,y)e^{st}$, called Darboux invariants, see section 3.2 for details. Such invariants describe the asymptotic behavior of the solutions of the system. Indeed let $\phi_p(t)$ be the solution of system (1.1) passing through the point $p \in \mathbb{R}^2$, defined on its maximal interval $(\alpha_p, \omega_p)$ such that $\phi_p(0) = p$. If $\omega_p = \infty$ we define the $\omega$–limit set of $p$ as

$$\omega(p) = \{ q \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \exists \{t_n\} \text{ with } t_n = \infty \text{ and } \phi_p(t_n) = q \text{ when } n = \infty \}. $$

In the same way, if $\alpha_p = -\infty$ we define the $\alpha$–limit set of $p$ as

$$\alpha(p) = \{ q \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \exists \{t_n\} \text{ with } t_n = -\infty \text{ and } \phi_p(t_n) = q \text{ when } n = \infty \}. $$

For more details on the $\omega$– and $\alpha$–limit sets see for instance [9, section 1.4].

The existence of a Darboux invariant of system (1.1) provides information about the $\omega$– and $\alpha$–limit sets of all orbits of system (1.1). More precisely, we have the following result, where the definitions of Poincaré compactification and Poincaré–disc are given in subsection 4. Its proof can be found in [14].
Proposition 3.3. Let \( I(t, x, y) = f(x, y)e^{st} \) be a Darboux invariant of system \([1.1]\). Let \( p \in \mathbb{R}^2 \) and \( \phi_p(t) \) be the solution of system \([1.1]\) with maximal interval \((\alpha_p, \omega_p)\) such that \( \phi_p(0) = p \). Assume \( s > 0 \). Then if \( \omega_p = \infty \) we have that \( \omega(p) \) is contained in the closure of \( \{f(x, y) = 0\} \) inside the Poincaré disc, and if \( \alpha_p = -\infty \) we have that \( \alpha(p) \) is contained in \( S^1 \), i.e. at infinity. When \( s < 0 \) we interchange the roles of \( \omega(p) \) and \( \alpha(p) \) with respect to \( s > 0 \).

4. Poincaré compactification

Let \( \mathcal{X} = P(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + Q(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \) be the planar polynomial vector field of degree \( m \) associated to the polynomial differential system \([1.1]\). The Poincaré compactified vector field \( \pi(\mathcal{X}) \) corresponding to \( \mathcal{X} \) is an analytic vector field induced on \( S^2 \) as follows (for more details, see [9]).

Let \( S^2 = \{ y = (y_1, y_2, y_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : y_1^2 + y_2^2 + y_3^2 = 1 \} \) and \( T_yS^2 \) be the tangent plane to \( S^2 \) at point \( y \). We identify \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) with \( T_{(0,0,1)}S^2 \) and we consider the central projection \( f : T_{(0,0,1)}S^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2 \). The map \( f \) defines two copies of \( \mathcal{X} \) on \( S^2 \), one in the southern hemisphere and the other in the northern hemisphere. Denote by \( \mathcal{X}' \) the vector field \( D(f \circ \mathcal{X}) \) defined on \( S^2 \setminus S^1 \), where \( S^1 = \{ y \in S^2 : y_3 = 0 \} \) is identified with the infinity of \( \mathbb{R}^2 \).

For extending \( \mathcal{X}' \) to a vector field on \( S^2 \), including \( S^1 \), \( \mathcal{X} \) must satisfy convenient conditions. Since the degree of \( \mathcal{X} \) is \( m \), \( \pi(\mathcal{X}) \) is the unique analytic extension of \( y_3^{m-1}\mathcal{X}' \) to \( S^2 \). On \( S^2 \setminus S^1 \) there are two symmetric copies of \( \mathcal{X} \), and once we know the behavior of \( \pi(\mathcal{X}) \) near \( S^1 \), we know the behavior of \( \mathcal{X} \) in a neighborhood of the infinity. The Poincaré compactification has the property that \( S^1 \) is invariant under the flow of \( \pi(\mathcal{X}) \). The projection of the closed northern hemisphere of \( S^2 \) on \( y_3 = 0 \) under \( (y_1, y_2, y_3) \to (y_1, y_2) \) is called the Poincaré disc, and its boundary is \( S^1 \).

Two polynomial vector fields \( \mathcal{X} \) and \( \mathcal{Y} \) on \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) are topologically equivalent if there exists a homeomorphism on \( S^2 \) preserving the infinity \( S^1 \) carrying orbits of the flow induced by \( \pi(\mathcal{X}) \) into orbits of the flow induced by \( \pi(\mathcal{Y}) \) preserving or not the orientation of all the orbits.

As \( S^2 \) is a differentiable manifold, in order to compute the explicit expression of \( \pi(\mathcal{X}) \), we consider six local charts \( U_i = \{ y \in S^2 : y_i > 0 \} \) and \( V_i = \{ y \in S^2 : y_i < 0 \} \), where \( i = 1, 2, 3 \), and the diffeomorphisms \( F_i : U_i \to \mathbb{R}^2 \) and \( G_i : V_i \to \mathbb{R}^2 \), for \( i = 1, 2, 3 \), which are the inverses of the central projections from the tangent planes at the points \((1, 0, 0), (-1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, -1, 0), (0, 0, 1) \) and \((0, 0, -1) \), respectively. We denote by \( z = (u, v) \) the value of \( F_i(y) \) and \( G_i(y) \), for any \( i = 1, 2, 3 \), therefore \( z \) means different things depending on the local charts where we are working. So after some computations \( \pi(\mathcal{X}) \) is given by:

\[
\begin{align*}
v^m \Delta(z) \left( Q \left( \frac{1}{v}, \frac{1}{v} \right) - u P \left( \frac{1}{v}, \frac{1}{v} \right), -v P \left( \frac{1}{v}, \frac{1}{v} \right) \right) & \quad \text{in } U_1, \\
v^m \Delta(z) \left( P \left( \frac{1}{v}, \frac{1}{v} \right) - u Q \left( \frac{1}{v}, \frac{1}{v} \right), -v Q \left( \frac{1}{v}, \frac{1}{v} \right) \right) & \quad \text{in } U_2, \\
\Delta(z) \left( P(u, v), Q(u, v) \right) & \quad \text{in } U_3,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \Delta(z) = (u^2 + v^2 + 1)^{-(m-1)/2} \). The expressions for \( V_i \)'s are the same as that for \( U_i \)'s but multiplied by the factor \((-1)^{m-1}\). In these coordinates \( v = 0 \) always denote the points of the infinity \( S^1 \).

4.1. Irreducible invariant cubics. The next results characterize all irreducible cubics, their proofs can be found in [2].
Proposition 4.1 ([2, Theorem 8.3]). A cubic is non-singular and irreducible and has a flex (a generalized inflection point) if and only if it can be transformed with affine transformations into either
\[ y^2 = x(x - 1)(x - r) \quad \text{with } r > 1, \]
or
\[ y^2 = x(x^2 + sx + 1) \quad \text{with } -2 < s < 2. \]

Proposition 4.2 ([2, Theorem 8.4]). A cubic is singular and irreducible if and only if it can be transformed with affine transformations into one of the forms
\[ y^2 = x^3, \quad y^2 = x^2(x + 1), \quad y^2 = x^2(x - 1). \]

Moreover in [2] it is proved that every non-singular and irreducible curve has a flex. So we have the complete characterization of the irreducible cubics.

4.2. Reducible invariant cubics.

Proposition 4.3. A real quadratic system having an invariant conic after an affine change of coordinates can be written in one of the following forms

- **real ellipse**
  \[ \dot{x} = (A/2)(x^2 + y^2 - 1) + 2y(p + qx + ry), \]
  \[ \dot{y} = (B/2)(x^2 + y^2 - 1) - 2x(p + qx + ry), \]

- **complex ellipse**
  \[ \dot{x} = (A/2)(x^2 + y^2 + 1) + 2y(p + qx + ry), \]
  \[ \dot{y} = (B/2)(x^2 + y^2 + 1) - 2x(p + qx + ry), \]

- **hyperbola**
  \[ \dot{x} = (A/2)(x^2 - y^2 - 1) - 2y(p + qx + ry), \]
  \[ \dot{y} = -(B/2)(x^2 - y^2 - 1) - 2x(p + qx + ry), \]

- **parabola**
  \[ \dot{x} = A(y - x^2) - (p + qx + ry), \]
  \[ \dot{y} = B(y - x^2) - 2x(p + qx + ry), \]

- **Lotka-Volterra**
  \[ \dot{x} = x(p_1 + q_1x + r_1y), \quad \dot{y} = y(p_2 + q_2x + r_2y), \]

- **two parallel real lines**
  \[ \dot{x} = x^2 - 1, \quad \dot{y} = Q(x, y), \]

- **(double line)**
  \[ \dot{x} = x^2, \quad \dot{y} = Q(x, y), \]

- **two parallel complex lines**
  \[ \dot{x} = x^2 + 1, \quad \dot{y} = Q(x, y), \]

- **two non-parallel complex lines**
  \[ \dot{x} = (A/2)(x^2 + y^2) + (C/2)x + 2y(p + qx + ry), \]
  \[ \dot{y} = (B/2)(x^2 + y^2) + (C/2)y - 2x(p + qx + ry). \]
Here $A, B, C, p, q, r, p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2, r_1, r_2$ are real parameters and $Q(x, y)$ denotes an arbitrary polynomial of degree 2.

The proof of the above result can be found in [4], except to the parabola that is proved in [12]. The next result is due to Christopher, Llibre, Pantazi, Zhang and Zhiladek, see [7, 5, 17]. An algebraic proof of it also can be found in [5].

**Theorem 4.5.** Let $f_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, q$ be $q$ irreducible algebraic curves in $C^2$, and let $k = \sum_{i=1}^{q} \deg f_i$. We assume

(i) there are no points at which $f_i$ and its first derivatives all vanish,
(ii) the highest order terms of $f_i$ have no repeated factors,
(iii) no more than two curves meet at any point in the finite plane and are not tangent at these points,
(iv) no two curves have a common factor in their highest order terms. Then any polynomial vector field $X$ of degree $m$ tangent to all $f_i$ is of the form described below.

(a) If $m > k - 1$ then $X = Y \left( \prod_{i=1}^{q} f_i \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{q} \left( \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^{q} f_j \right) X_{f_i}$, where $X_{f_i} = (-\partial f_i / \partial y_i, \partial f_i / \partial x_i)$ is a Hamiltonian vector field, the $b_i$ are polynomials of degree $\leq m - k + 1$ and $Y$ is a polynomial vector field of degree less than or equal $m - k$.

(b) If $m = k - 1$ then $X = \sum_{i=1}^{q} \alpha_i \left( \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^{q} f_j \right) X_{f_i}$, where $\alpha_i \in C$. In this case a Darboux first integral exists.

(c) If $m < k - 1$ then $X \equiv 0$.

**Theorem 4.5 (5 Lemma 7).** Assume that $f = 0$ and $g = 0$ are different irreducible invariant algebraic curves of system (1.1) of degree $m$, and that they satisfy conditions (i) and (iii) of Theorem 4.4. If $\gcd(f_x, f_y) = 1$ and $\gcd(g_x, g_y) = 1$, then system (1.1) has the normal form

$$
\dot{x} = Afg - h_1 fg g - h_2 g_y \quad \dot{y} = Bfg + h_1 fx g + h_2 g_x,
$$

where $A, B$ and $h_j$ are polynomials, for $i = 1, 2$.

### 5. Proof of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2

From now on assume that $P(x, y) = a_{00} + a_{01}y + a_{02}y^2 + a_{10}x + a_{11}xy + a_{20}x^2$ and $Q(x, y) = b_{00} + b_{01}y + b_{02}y^2 + b_{10}x + b_{11}xy + b_{20}x^2$.

**Proof of Theorem 2.1.** If a quadratic system (1.1) has a singular irreducible invariant cubic $f(x, y) = 0$ by Proposition 1.2 the function $f$ can be written either as $f(x, y) = y^2 - x^3$ or $f(x, y) = y^2 - x^2(x + 1)$ or $f(x, y) = y^2 - x^2(x - 1)$. The curve $f(x, y) = y^2 - x^3 = 0$ is an invariant cubic for system (1.1) if and only if equation (3.2) is satisfied. The solution of this equation in terms of the parameters of the system is $a_{00} = a_{02} = b_{00} = b_{10} = 0$, $b_{01} = 3a_{10}/2$, $b_{02} = 3a_{11}/2$, $b_{11} = 3a_{20}/2$, $b_{20} = 3a_{01}/2$. So the cofactor of $f$ is $K = 3(a_{10} + a_{20}x + a_{11}y)$. Setting $a_{10} = a$, $a_{20} = b$, $a_{01} = c$, $a_{11} = d$ and a rescaling of the time we obtain system (i) of Theorem 2.1.

When $f(x, y) = y^2 - x^2(x \pm 1)$ we obtain the normal forms given in (ii) and (iii) of the theorem following similar steps.

Now if a quadratic system (1.1) has an invariant non-singular irreducible cubic $f(x, y) = 0$ then by Proposition 4.1 we can write $f(x, y) = y^2 - x(x - 1)(x - r)$ with $r > 1$ or $f(x, y) = y^2 - x^2 + sx + 1$ with $-2 < s < 2$. In the first case
solving equation (3.2) we obtain three solutions but fixing \( r > 1 \) only one solution can hold \( a_{00} = a_{02} = a_{10} = a_{20} = b_{01} = b_{11} = 0, b_{00} = a_{01} r / 2, b_{02} = 3 a_{11} / 2, \)
\( b_{10} = -a_{01} (r + 1) - a_{11} r, b_{20} = (3 a_{01} + a_{11} r + a_{11}) / 2. \) It corresponds to system (iv) of Theorem 2.1.

For \( f(x, y) = y^2 - x(x^2 + sx + 1) \) we obtain only one solution corresponding to system (v) of the theorem.

Using the normal forms described in Theorem 2.1 we investigate when these systems admit a Darboux invariant of the form \( e^{st} f(x, y) \).

**Proof of Theorem 2.2.** First of all, it is easy to see that the cofactor \( K \) of \( f \) in systems (ii)–(v) of Theorem 2.1 has no constant terms. Then equation (3.3) becomes \( \lambda K + s = 0 \) which never holds if \( s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \) and \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \). Therefore we conclude that systems (ii)–(v) do not admit a Darboux invariant of the form \( e^{st} f(x, y) \).

Now considering system (i) of Theorem 2.1 \( f(x, y) = y^2 - x^3 = 0 \) is an invariant curve of system (i) with cofactor \( K = 6(a + cx + dy) \). In this case the solution of (3.3) is \( c = 0, d = 0, s = -6a \). Taking \( \lambda = -s/(6a) \) we obtain the system

\[
\dot{x} = 2(ax + by), \quad \dot{y} = 3(ay + bx^2),
\]

with Darboux invariant \( I(t, x, y) = e^{-6at}(y^2 - x^3) \).

The normal form described in Theorem 2.2 is obtained doing the following change of coordinates and rescaling of the time

\[
x = \frac{2a^2}{3b^2} X, \quad y = \frac{2a^3}{3b^3} Y, \quad t = \frac{1}{2a} T.
\]

In this case, the Darboux invariant is written as \( I_1(t, x, y) = e^{-3t}(\frac{2}{3}x^3 + y^2) \).

Now it remains to study the phase portrait of system (2.1). This system has two singular points, namely \( z_1 = (0, 0) \) hyperbolic unstable node, and \( z_2 = (3/2, -3/2) \) a hyperbolic saddle. Applying the Poincaré compactification, in the local chart \( U_1 \) the compactified system has no singular points. However in the local chart \( U_2 \) the origin \((0, 0)\) is a nilpotent singularity. With the notation of Theorem 3.5 of [9] the compactified system has \( F(u) = -u^3 - (3/2)u^6 \) and \( G(u) = -4u^2 - (7/2)u^3 \). Hence the origin of \( U_2 \) is a nilpotent stable node. By the previous statements it follows that the phase portrait of system (2.1) is the one illustrated in Figure 1.

6. Proof of Theorem 2.3

The proof is divided in nine parts, according to the type of the conic in the reducible cubic.

6.1. Systems of type (E). If system (2.1) has an invariant cubic of the form \( f(x, y) = f_1(x, y) f_2(x, y) \) with \( f_1 = x^2 + y^2 - 1 \) and \( f_2 = ax + by + c \), then applying a rotation we can assume \( b = 1 \). Therefore it follows from Proposition 3.1 that \( f_j \) is an invariant curve with cofactor \( k_j = \alpha_j + \beta_j x + \gamma_j y, j = 1, 2 \). Now we consider two possibilities: \( a = 0 \) and \( a \neq 0 \).

If \( a = 0 \) then using equation (3.2) we have \( Q = k_2 f_2 \) and \( P = (k_1 f_1 - 2yk_2 f_2) / (2x) \). As \( P \) is a polynomial the parameters of the system must satisfy the of following conditions

\[
s_1 = \{c = -1, \ \alpha_1 = 0, \ \gamma_1 = 2\alpha_2, \ \gamma_2 = \alpha_2\},
\]
\[
s_2 = \{c = 1, \ \alpha_1 = 0, \ \gamma_1 = -2\alpha_2, \ \gamma_2 = -\alpha_2\},
\]
s_3 = \{\alpha_1 = 0, \gamma_1 = 0, \gamma_2 = 0\}.

Moreover the solutions s_1 and s_2 provide equivalent systems, and we can summarize the solutions s_1 and s_3 writing the system

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= (\beta_1/2)(x^2 + y^2 - 1) - y(\beta_2 y - \alpha_2 x + c \beta_2), \\
\dot{y} &= (y + c)(\alpha_2 y + \beta_2 c x + \alpha_2),
\end{align*}
\]  

(6.1)

with \(\alpha_2(c + 1) = 0\). This is exactly system (E.2) of Theorem 2.3

When \(a \neq 0\) we check when the hypotheses of Theorem 1.4 are satisfied. Clearly \(f_1\) and \(f_2\) satisfies (i), (ii) and (iv). Condition (iii) is not satisfied when \(c^2 = a^2 + 1\) because the line \(f_2 = 0\) is tangent to the real ellipse \(f_1 = 0\). Indeed if the straight line \(f_2 = y + ax + c = 0\) is tangent to the real ellipse \(f_1 = x^2 + y^2 = 1\) at the point \((x_0, y_0)\), then their gradients are parallel in such point, what means that \(x_0 - ay_0 = 0\). Replacing \(y_0 = x_0/a\) in the ellipse we conclude that \(x_0 = \pm a/\sqrt{a^2 + 1}\). From \(f_2 = 0\) we obtain \(c = \mp \sqrt{a^2 + 1}\). Therefore the condition for the tangency is \(c^2 = a^2 + 1\). By a rotation we obtain \(f_2 = y - 1\). Again we are in system (6.1) with \(c = -1\).

Now assuming \(c^2 \neq a^2 + 1\), by Theorem 1.4 the considered system is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= -\alpha_2(x^2 + y^2 - 1) - 2\alpha_1 y(y + ax + c), \\
\dot{y} &= a\alpha_2(x^2 + y^2 - 1) + 2\alpha_1 x(y + ax + c),
\end{align*}
\]  

(6.2)

where \(\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{C}\) and \(a, c \in \mathbb{R}\). As we are looking for a real system, then \(\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}\), and doing a rescaling of the time we can assume \(\alpha_2 = 1\). Note that system (6.2) is exactly system (E.1) of Theorem 2.3.

6.2. Systems of type (CE). In this case we can follow the same steps applied previously. If system (1.1) has an invariant cubic of the form \(f = f_1f_2\) with \(f_1 = x^2 + y^2 + 1\) and \(f_2 = ax + by + c\) we suppose, without loss of generality, \(b = 1\). Since the coefficients \(a, b\) and \(c\) are real numbers the straight line \(f_2 = 0\) cannot be tangent to the complex ellipse \(f_1 = 0\). So we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= -\alpha_2(x^2 + y^2 + 1) - 2\alpha_1 y(y + ax + c), \\
\dot{y} &= a\alpha_2(x^2 + y^2 + 1) + 2\alpha_1 x(y + ax + c),
\end{align*}
\]  

(6.3)

where \(\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{C}\) and \(a, c \in \mathbb{R}\). Applying a rescaling we have \(\alpha_2 = 1\) in (6.3), and we obtain the normal form for the systems of type (CE).

6.3. Systems of type (H). Let \(f_1 = x^2 - y^2 - 1\) and \(f_2 = ax + by + c\) be two real algebraic invariant curves of system (1.1), so \(a^2 + b^2 \neq 0\). Proceeding as before if \(a = 0\) we can assume \(b = 1\) and the system can be written in the form

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= (\beta_1/2)(x^2 - y^2 - 1) + \beta_2 y(y + c), \\
\dot{y} &= \beta_2 y(y + c),
\end{align*}
\]

with \(\beta_1, \beta_2 \neq 0\). This is system (H.1) of Theorem 2.3.

If \(a \neq 0\) and \(b = 0\) we take \(a = 1\) and system (1.1) satisfies \(P = k_2 f_2\) and \(2y Q = 2xP - k_1 f_1\), where \(k_j = \alpha_j + \beta_j x + \gamma_j y\), for \(j = 1, 2\). Since \(Q\) is a polynomial in the parameters of the system it must satisfy one of the following conditions

\[
\begin{align*}
s_1 &= \{c = -1, \alpha_1 = 0, \beta_1 = 2\alpha_2, \beta_2 = \alpha_2\}, \\
s_2 &= \{c = 1, \alpha_1 = 0, \beta_1 = -2\alpha_2, \beta_2 = -\alpha_2\}, \\
s_3 &= \{\alpha_1 = 0, \alpha_2 = 0, \beta_1 = 0, \beta_2 = 0\}.
\end{align*}
\]
Applying the change of coordinates $x = -X, y = Y$ we conclude that case $s_1$ and $s_2$ provide equivalent systems. Moreover we can summarize solutions $s_1$ and $s_3$ in the unique system
\[ \dot{x} = (x + c)(\alpha_2 x + \gamma_2 y + \alpha_2), \quad \dot{y} = -(\gamma_1/2)(x^2 - y^2 - 1) + x(\gamma_2 x + \alpha_2 y + c\gamma_2), \]
with $\alpha_2(c + 1) = 0$. System \([6.4]\) corresponds to system (H.2) of Theorem \(2.3\).

If $ab \neq 0$ we assume $b = 1$ and consider three cases, according to the conditions of Theorem \(4.4\). Note that condition (i) of Theorem \(4.4\) holds because $\nabla f_1(x, y) = (2x, -2y)$ and $\nabla f_2(x, y) = (a, 1)$, where $\nabla$ indicates the gradient. Condition (ii) also holds. However condition (iv) is not verified when $a^2 - 1 = 0$. Indeed in this case $f_1 = (x + y)(x - y) - 1$ and $f_2 = (y \pm x) + c$. Condition (iii) does not hold when $c^2 = a^2 - 1$ since the straight line $f_2 = y + ax + c = 0$ is tangent to the hyperbola. The proof of this last statement can be done analogously as for the systems of type (E). Hence when $a^2 - 1 = 0$ or $c^2 = a^2 - 1$ Theorem \(4.4\) does not hold and we split the study of systems of type (H) for $ab \neq 0$ in three cases: $a^2 - 1 = 0$, $c^2 = a^2 - 1$ and $(a^2 - 1)(c^2 - a^2 + 1) \neq 0$.

For the first two cases we apply Propositions \(3.1\) and \(4.3\) to conclude that $f_1$ is an algebraic invariant curve of a quadratic system \([1.1]\) and it can be written as
\[ \dot{x} = \frac{A}{2}(x^2 - y^2 - 1) - 2y(p + qx + ry), \quad \dot{y} = -\frac{B}{2}(x^2 - y^2 - 1) - 2x(p + qx + ry), \] where $A, B, p, q, r \in \mathbb{R}$. Fixing the cofactor of $f_2 = 0$ as $k_2 = \alpha + \beta x + \gamma y$, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and using system \([6.5]\) we solve \([3.2]\). First considering $a = -1$ (the case $a = 1$ is analogous except by a reflection) equation \([3.2]\) has two possible solutions
\[ s_1 = \{B = -A, c = 0, p = \alpha/2, q = \beta/2, r = \gamma/2\}, \]
\[ s_2 = \{B = -A + 2c\alpha, p = (\alpha - \beta)/2, q = (\beta - \alpha)/2, r = -2(\beta + \alpha)/2, \gamma = -\beta\}. \]

Using the two above solutions we obtain the system
\[ \dot{x} = (A/2)(x^2 - y^2 - 1) - y(\alpha - c\beta + x(\beta - \alpha) + y(\gamma - \alpha)), \]
\[ \dot{y} = (A/2)(x^2 - y^2 - 1) - x(\alpha - c\beta + \beta x + y(\gamma - \alpha)) + c(\gamma^2 + 1), \]
with $c(\gamma + \beta) = 0$. This is system (H.3) of Theorem \(2.3\).

Now considering $c^2 = a^2 - 1$ we investigate the conditions that must be satisfied by the parameters of system \([6.5]\) in order that $f_2 = y + ax \pm \sqrt{a^2 - 1}$ be an invariant curve. Without loss of generality we can assume $c = \sqrt{a^2 - 1}$. Equation \([3.2]\) has one solution, namely
\[ B = aA - 2a\sqrt{a}, \quad p = (\beta \sqrt{a} - a\alpha)/2, \quad r = -\beta/2, \]
\[ q = (\alpha \sqrt{a} - a\beta)/2, \quad \gamma = a\beta - \alpha \sqrt{a}, \]
where $d = a^2 - 1$. Replacing it in \([6.5]\) we obtain
\[ \dot{x} = \frac{A}{2}(x^2 - y^2 - 1) + y(a\alpha - \beta \sqrt{a} + x(a\beta - a\sqrt{a}) + \beta y), \]
\[ \dot{y} = \frac{A}{2}a(x^2 - y^2 - 1) + x(aa\beta - \beta \sqrt{a} + a\beta x + \beta y) - a\sqrt{a}(y^2 + 1), \]
where $d = a^2 - 1$, and this systems corresponds to system (H.4) of Theorem \(2.3\).

Finally if $(a^2 - 1)(c^2 - a^2 + 1) \neq 0$, applying Theorem \(4.4\) we obtain the system
\[ \dot{x} = -\alpha_2(x^2 - y^2 - 1) + 2\alpha_1y(y + ax + c), \]
\[ \dot{y} = a \alpha_2 (x^2 - y^2 - 1) + 2 \alpha_1(x + ax + c), \]

which is system (H.5) of Theorem 2.3.

6.4. Systems of type (P). Let \( f = (y - x^2)(ax + by + c) = 0 \) be an invariant cubic of system (1.1). When \( b = 0 \) we can assume \( f = x(y - x^2) \). Indeed if \( b = 0 \) we take \( a = 1 \) and make the change of coordinates \( x = X - c, y = Y - 2cX + c^2 \). Using that \( f_2 = x = 0 \) is an invariant straight line we have \( P = k_2f_2 \) with \( k_2 = \alpha_2 + \beta_2x + \gamma_2y, \) and a quadratic system (1.1) can be written as

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= x(\alpha_2 + \beta_2x + \gamma_2y), \\
\dot{y} &= \alpha_1(y - x^2) + 2\alpha_2x^2 + 2y(\beta_2x + \gamma_2y). 
\end{align*}
\]

(6.6)

If \( b \neq 0 \) and \( a = 0 \) we can take \( b = 1 \) and proceed as in systems of type (H) and (E), then we obtain the system

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= -\beta_1(y - x^2) + \gamma_2x + (\alpha_2 + \gamma_2c)x + c\beta_2, \\
\dot{y} &= 2(y + c)(\alpha_2 + \beta_2x + \gamma_2y),
\end{align*}
\]

(6.7)

with \( \alpha_2 = 0 \). Observe that when \( c = 0 \) the invariant line is \( y = 0 \) and when \( \alpha_2 = 0 \) it is \( y + c = 0 \).

If \( ab \neq 0 \) and \( f_2 = y - x \) is tangent to the parabola. In this case we can assume \( f_2 = y + ax + a^2/4 \) (the other case is a reflection). Applying the change of coordinates \( x = X - a/2 \) and \( y = Y + aX + a^2/4 \) the cubic \( f = (y - x^2)(y + ax + a^2/4) \) becomes \( f = (Y - X^2)Y \), which already has been studied above. Indeed it corresponds to system (6.7) with \( c = 0 \).

Otherwise there is no tangency between the straight line and the parabola, and we apply Theorem 4.3 to get the differential system

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= -(y - x^2) - \alpha(y + ax + c), \\
\dot{y} &= a(y - x^2) - 2ax(y + ax + c).
\end{align*}
\]

(6.8)

Systems (6.6), (6.7) and (6.8) correspond to systems (P.1), (P.2) and (P.3) of Theorem 2.3, respectively.

6.5. Systems of type (LV). In this case \( f = xy(ax + by + c) = 0 \) is the invariant curve and except by a rotation we can assume \( b = 1 \). We consider different cases according to \( ac = 0 \) or \( ac \neq 0 \). Note that if \( c = 0 \) hypothesis (iii) of Theorem 4.4 is not valid, whereas \( a = 0 \) breaks the hypothesis (iv).

When \( c = 0 \) and \( a \neq 0 \), doing the change of coordinates \( x = -\frac{Y}{\sqrt{a}}, y = \sqrt{a}X \) the cubic becomes \( F = XY(Y - X) \). So using Proposition 4.3 the differential system can be written as

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= x(p_1 + q_1x + r_1y), \\
\dot{y} &= y(p_2 + q_2x + r_2y).
\end{align*}
\]

(6.9)

If (6.9) has \( f_3 = y - x \) as an invariant curve with cofactor \( k = \alpha + \beta x + \gamma y \), then equation (3.2) must be satisfied. Solving it we obtain

\[
s_1 = \{ p_2 = \alpha, r_2 = \beta - q_2 + r_1, q_1 = \beta, p_1 = \alpha, \gamma = \beta - q_2 + r_1 \}.
\]

Replacing in (6.9) and writing \( q = q_2, r = r_1 \) we obtain system (LV.1).

Now if \( c = a = 0 \) then \( f_2 = y = 0 \) is a double line, and it is not difficult to see that we can write the system as

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= x(p + qx + ry), \\
\dot{y} &= y^2.
\end{align*}
\]

(6.10)

Finally, when \( a = 0 \) and \( c \neq 0 \), doing the change of coordinates \( x = X/c^2, y = cY - c \) the cubic \( f = 0 \) becomes \( F = XY(Y - 1) \). So without loss of generality we can work with \( f_3 = y - 1 \). Again the idea is to write the system as in (6.9), and
see what are the conditions in order that \( f_3 = 0 \) to be an invariant curve for such system. Solving equation (3.2) and replacing the solutions in (6.9) we obtain

\[
\dot{x} = x(p+qx+ry), \quad \dot{y} = y(y-1).
\] (6.11)

Systems (6.10) and (6.11) can be summarized as

\[
\dot{x} = x(p+qx+ry), \quad \dot{y} = y(y+c),
\]

with \( c = 0 \) or \( c = -1 \). This is exactly system (LV.2) of Theorem 2.3.

In the last case, \( a c \neq 0 \) the invariant cubic is \( f = xy(y+ax+c) = 0 \) and by the geometry to the curves we can assume \( a < 0 \) and \( c < 0 \). Applying Theorem 4.4 we obtain the system

\[
\dot{x} = -\alpha_2x(y+ax+c) - \alpha_3xy, \quad \dot{y} = \alpha_1y(y+ax+c) + a\alpha_3xy.
\]

Note that we can take \( \alpha_3 = 1 \). Doing \( \alpha = \alpha_2, \beta = \alpha_1 \) we obtain system (LV.3).

6.6. Systems of type (RPL). Here the invariant cubic is \( f = f_1f_2f_3 = 0 \) where \( f_1 = x + 1, \ f_2 = x - 1 \) and \( f_3 = ax + by + c \). When \( b = 0 \) we apply Proposition 4.3 (case (RPL)), then it is easy to see that the corresponding normal form has one additional invariant curve \( f_3 = 0 \) as invariant straight line if and only if it is a multiple of \( f_1 \) or \( f_2 \). However we cannot consider any of these cases because if the system has \( f_2 \) as an invariant double straight line for example, then there would be a change of coordinates so that the system would be written as

\[
\dot{x} = (x-1)(x+1)^2, \quad \dot{y} = Q(x,y),
\]

then having degree 3 instead of 2.

When \( b \neq 0 \) we can fix \( b = 1 \). In this case the cubic \( f = (x^2-1)(y+ax+c) = 0 \) can be reduced to \( F = y(x^2-1) \) by change of coordinates \( x = X, y = Y - aX + c \). If the quadratic differential system (1.1) has the invariant curve \( f = y(x^2-1) = 0 \), then \( f_1 = 0 \) and \( f_2 = 0 \) are invariant curves and by Proposition 4.3 such system can be written as

\[
\dot{x} = x^2 - 1, \quad \dot{y} = Q(x,y),
\]

where \( Q(x,y) \) is an arbitrary polynomial of degree 2. Imposing that \( f_3 = y = 0 \) is an additional invariant curve with cofactor \( k_3 = \alpha + \beta x + \gamma y \), the above system must satisfy \( Q(x,y) = y(\alpha + \beta x + \gamma y) \). This expression justify the normal form given in (RPL) of Theorem 2.3.

6.7. Systems of type (DL). These systems have a double straight line as invariant curve which can be taken as \( f_1 = x \). We write \( f_2 = ax + by + c \) and use the normal form of a system having \( f = f_1f_2 = 0 \) as an invariant cubic. For such normal form, if \( b = 0 \) then \( f_2 = 0 \) is an invariant straight line if and only if \( c = 0 \) but in this case the system cannot have a triple invariant straight line.

If \( b \neq 0 \) we can take \( b = 1 \) and \( f = x^2(y+ax+c) \). Doing the change \( x = X, y = Y - aX - c \) the function \( f \) can be written as \( F = X^2Y \). Hence it is enough to consider \( f_2 = y \). By Proposition 4.3 a quadratic system (1.1) can be written as

\[
\dot{x} = x^2, \quad \dot{y} = Q(x,y),
\]

where \( Q(x,y) \) is an arbitrary polynomial of degree 2. Imposing that \( f_2 = 0 \) is an additional invariant curve with cofactor \( k_2 = \alpha + \beta x + \gamma y \), we conclude that \( Q(x,y) = y(\alpha + \beta x + \gamma y) \). This expression justify the normal form given by (DL).
The proof for this case is analogous to the case (DL) so we will omit some details. In short the cubic is given by \( f = f_1 f_2 f_3 = 0 \) where \( f_1 = x + i, f_2 = x - i \) and \( f_3 = ax + by + c \). In order for \( f_3 = 0 \) to be an invariant curve with \( b = 0 \) it is necessary that \( c = \pm i \). So \( b \neq 0 \) and we assume \( b = 1 \). This reduce \( f \) to the cubic \( F = y(x^2 + 1) \) and then we obtain the normal form (CPL) described in Theorem 2.3.

6.9. Systems of type (p). In this case the cubic is given by \( f = (x^2 + y^2)(ax + by + c) = 0 \) and except by a rotation we can assume \( b = 1 \). When \( c = 0 \) the three curves intersect at the same point and the conditions of Theorem 4.4 are not satisfied. But if \( c = 0 \) doing the change of coordinates

\[
\begin{align*}
  x &= -\frac{X}{\sqrt{(a^2 + 1)^2}} + \frac{aY}{\sqrt{(a^2 + 1)^2}}, \\
  y &= \frac{aX}{\sqrt{(a^2 + 1)^2}} + \frac{Y}{\sqrt{(a^2 + 1)^2}},
\end{align*}
\]

the cubic \( f = (x^2 + y^2)(y + ax) = 0 \) is reduced to \( f = Y(X^2 + Y^2) \). Now using that system (1.1) has \( f_3 = y = 0 \) as a third invariant curve it follows that \( Q(x, y) = k_3 f_3 \) where \( k_3 = \alpha_3 + \beta_3 x + \gamma_3 y \) is the cofactor of \( f_3 \). Moreover \( f_1 f_2 = 0 \) is also an invariant curve then we must have

\[
2xP(x, y) + 2yQ(x, y) = k(x, y)(x^2 + y^2),
\]

with \( k(x, y) = \alpha + \beta x + \gamma y \) being the sum of the cofactors of \( f_1 \) and \( f_2 \). So a quadratic system (1.1) can be written as

\[
\dot{x} = (\beta/2)(x^2 + y^2) - \beta_3 y^2 + x(\alpha_3 + \gamma_3 y), \quad \dot{y} = y(\alpha_3 + \beta_3 x + \gamma_3 y),
\]

which is exactly system (p.1) of Theorem 2.3.

When \( c \neq 0 \) we apply Theorem 4.4 and conclude that a quadratic system (1.1) can be written as

\[
\begin{align*}
  \dot{x} &= -\alpha_3(x^2 + y^2) - ((\alpha_2 + \alpha_1)y - i(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)x)(y + ax + c), \\
  \dot{y} &= a\alpha_3(x^2 + y^2) + ((\alpha_2 + \alpha_1)x - i(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)y)(y + ax + c),
\end{align*}
\]

(6.12)

with \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \) and \( \alpha_3 \in \mathbb{C} \). Writing \( \alpha_j = m_j + i n_j \) with \( m_j, n_j \in \mathbb{R} \) and using that such system have real parameters we conclude that \( m_2 = m_1, n_2 = -n_1 \) and \( n_3 = 0 \). Replacing these conditions in (6.12) we obtain the system

\[
\begin{align*}
  \dot{x} &= -m_3(x^2 + y^2) + 2(m_1 x - m_1 y)(y + ax + c), \\
  \dot{y} &= am_3(x^2 + y^2) + 2(m_1 x + n_1 y)(y + ax + c).
\end{align*}
\]

Note that if \( m_3 = 0 \) then the system has a common factor, so we can take \( m_3 = 2 \). After a rescaling of the time and writing \( \alpha = m_1, \beta = n_1 \) we obtain system (p.2).

It follows from the previous study the proof of Theorem 2.3.

7. Proof of Theorems 2.4 and 2.5

In this section we investigate planar quadratic systems with algebraic invariant cubics having Darboux invariant. Moreover we investigate the phase portraits, in the Poincaré disc, of such systems.

Proposition 7.1. Each real planar quadratic differential system with a real invariant ellipse and an invariant straight line having a Darboux invariant can be written, after an affine change of coordinates, as system (E.2) with \( c = -1, \beta_1 = 2\beta_2, \alpha_2 \neq 0 \). Moreover, such systems have the Darboux invariant of the form

\[
I_2(t, x, y) = e^{-t}(y - 1)^{1/\alpha_2}(x^2 + y^2 - 1)^{-\beta_2/2}.
\]
and, they have only two non equivalent phase portraits, see phase portraits EL.2.1 and EL.2.2 of Figure 3.

Proof. If follows from the reducible cubic classification that we can fix \( f_1 = x^2 + y^2 - 1 = 0 \) as the real ellipse and by Theorem 2.3 there are only two families of systems having \( f_1 = 0 \) and a straight line as invariant curves (E.1) and (E.2). We shall prove later that (E.1) does not admit a Darboux invariant. Now we study systems having \( f_e \) with eigenvalues of \((0 1/\alpha_2)\), and \( (0 1) \), and \( (1 1) \), and the unique solution of (3.3), with \( s = 0 \) is

\[
\beta_1 = 2\beta_2, \quad s = -\alpha_2\lambda_2, \quad \lambda_1 = -\lambda_2/2. \quad (7.1)
\]

Taking \( \lambda_1 = 1/\alpha_2 \) and replacing (7.1) in system (E.2) we obtain system

\[
\dot{x} = \beta_2(y - 1) + x(\beta_2x + \alpha_2y), \quad \dot{y} = (y - 1)(\alpha_2 + \beta_2x + \alpha_2y), \quad (7.2)
\]

which has the Darboux invariant

\[
I_2(x, y, t) = e^{t(y - 1)^{1/\alpha_2}(x^2 + y^2 - 1)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha_2}}}. \]

To study the global phase portrait of system (E.2) we start considering its finite singularities. Note that (7.2) has at most three finite singularities, namely \( z_1 = (0, 1) \), \( z_2 = (-1/\beta_2, 1) \) and \( z_3 = (\frac{-2\beta_2}{\beta_2^2 + 1}, \frac{\beta_2^2 - 1}{\beta_2^2 + 1}) \). The eigenvalues associated to \( z_1 \) are 2 and 1, and \( \beta_2 \neq 0 \), the eigenvalues associated to \( z_2 \) are \(-1 \) and \( 1 \) and the eigenvalues of \( z_3 \) are \(-1 \) and \(-2 \). So for \( \beta_2 \neq 0 \) \( z_1 \), \( z_2 \) and \( z_3 \) are an unstable node, a saddle and a stable node, respectively. When \( \beta_2 = 0 \) we have only \( z_1 \) and \( z_3 \) as finite singularities.

In the local chart \( U_1 \) the compactified system is

\[
\dot{u} = -v(\beta_2 + \beta_2u^2 - \beta_2uv + v), \quad \dot{v} = -v(\beta_2 + \beta_2uv + u - \beta_2v^2), \quad (7.3)
\]

so \( v = 0 \) is a common factor, this means that \( v = 0 \) is a line of singular points. Eliminating the common factor \( v \), system (7.3) has no singular points if \( \beta_2 \neq 0 \). Otherwise \( u_1 = (0, 0) \) is a singular point with eigenvalues \(-1 \) and \( 1 \) which implies that the origin is a hyperbolic saddle besides the line of singular points.

In the local chart \( U_2 \) the compactified system is written as

\[
\dot{u} = v(\beta_2 + \beta_2u^2 + uv - \beta_2v), \quad \dot{v} = v(v - 1)(\beta_2u + v + 1).
\]

With a rescaling of the time the common factor \( v \) is eliminated and we can see that \((0, 0)\) is not a singular point of the compactified system.

Note that if \( \beta_2 = 0 \) there are an additional invariant straight line given by \( y + 1 = 0 \). From the study of the finite and infinite behavior of system (E.2) we conclude that such system has two non-equivalent phase portraits when \( c = -1 \): EL.2.1, if \( \beta_2 \neq 0 \) and EL.2.2, if \( \beta_2 = 0 \). See Figure 2.

□

Proposition 7.2. Each real planar quadratic differential system with an invariant hyperbola and an invariant straight line having a Darboux invariant can be written, after an affine change of coordinates, as
(i) system (H.2) with \( \alpha_2 \neq 0 \), \( c = -1 \) and \( \gamma_1 = 2\gamma_2 \). Its Darboux invariant is
\[
I_3(x, y, t) = e^{-\alpha_2 t}(x^2 - y^2 - 1)^{-1/2}(x - 1).
\]

(ii) system (H.3) with \( A\alpha \neq 0 \), \( c = 0 \) and \( \beta = -\gamma \). Its Darboux invariant is
\[
I_4(x, y, t) = e^{-A\alpha t}(x^2 - y^2 - 1)^{\gamma}(y - x)^A.
\]

(iii) system (H.3) with \( \alpha \neq 0 \) and \( \beta = 0 \). Its Darboux invariant is
\[
I_5(x, y, t) = e^{\alpha t}(y - x + c)^{\gamma}.
\]

(iv) system (H.4) with \( \alpha \neq 0 \) and \( A = 2\beta \). Its Darboux invariant is
\[
I_6(x, y, t) = e^{-\alpha t}(x^2 - y^2 - 1)^{-1/2}(y + ax - \sqrt{a^2 - 1}).
\]

Moreover there are 12 non-equivalent phase portrait in the Poincaré disc of these systems. They are in Figure 2

Proof. Fixing \( f_1 = x^2 - y^2 - 1 = 0 \), Proposition 3.2 says that system (H.2) has a Darboux invariant if equation (3.3) holds for \( \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \) not both zero, where \( s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \), and \( k_1, k_2 \) are cofactors of \( f_1 = 0 \) and \( f_2 = x + c = 0 \), respectively. Moreover \( c = -1 \) or \( \alpha_2 = 0 \) in system (H.2). For \( \alpha_2 = 0 \) we have \( k_1 = \gamma_1 y \) and \( k_2 = \gamma_2 y \) and the equation \( \lambda_1 k_1 + \lambda_2 k_2 + s = 0 \) has no solution with \( s \neq 0 \). So in this case system (H.2) has no Darboux invariant. If \( \alpha \neq 0 \) and \( c = -1 \) then \( k_1 = 2\alpha x + \gamma_1 y \) and \( k_2 = \alpha_2 x + \gamma_2 y \) and (3.3) has a unique solution \( s = -\alpha_2 \lambda_1, \gamma_1 = 2\gamma_2, \lambda_1 = -\lambda_2/2 \). The proof of (i) follows taking \( \lambda_2 = 1 \) and replacing \( \gamma_1 = 2\gamma_2 \) in system (H.2), so we obtain that system
\[
\dot{x} = (x - 1)(\alpha_2 + \alpha_2 x + \gamma_2 y), \quad \dot{y} = -\gamma_2(x^2 - y^2 - 1) + x(-\gamma_2 + \gamma_2 x + \alpha_2 y),
\]
has the Darboux invariant
\[
I_3(x, y, t) = e^{-\alpha_2 t}(x^2 - y^2 - 1)^{-1/2}(x - 1).
\]

To prove (ii) and (iii) we study system (H.3) considering two cases: \( c = 0 \) and \( \beta = -\gamma \). It is easy to see that if \( c = 0 \) (H.3) has a Darboux invariant when \( \alpha \neq 0 \) and \( \beta = -\gamma \). In this case we obtain
\[
\dot{x} = (A/2)(x^2 - y^2 - 1) - y(\alpha - \gamma x + \gamma y), \quad \dot{y} = (A/2)(x^2 - y^2 - 1) - x(\alpha - \gamma x + \gamma y),
\]
with the Darboux invariant
\[
I_4(x, y, t) = e^{-A\alpha t}(x^2 - y^2 - 1)^{\gamma}(y - x)^A.
\]

If \( \beta = -\gamma \), system (H.3) has a Darboux invariant only when \( \gamma = 0 \) and \( \alpha \neq 0 \). In this case, we obtain to system
\[
\dot{x} = (A/2)(x^2 - y^2 - 1) - \alpha y(1 - cx - cy), \quad \dot{y} = (A/2)(x^2 - y^2 - 1) - \alpha x(1 - cy) + c\alpha(y^2 + 1),
\]
with the Darboux invariant
\[
I_5(x, y, t) = e^{\alpha t}(y - x + c)^{-1}.
\]

The study of (iv) follows from system (H.4) where the invariant line is \( f_2 = y + ax - \sqrt{a^2 - 1} = 0 \). In this case the unique solution of (3.3) is \( s = -\alpha \lambda_2, A = 2\beta, \lambda_1 = -\lambda_2/2 \). So taking \( \lambda_2 = 1 \) we obtain the Darboux invariant
\[
I_6(x, y, t) = e^{-\alpha t}(x^2 - y^2 - 1)^{-1/2}(y + ax - \sqrt{a^2 - 1}).
\]
Now, let us study of possible phase portraits of system (7.4). Since $\alpha_2 \neq 0$ we can take $\alpha_2 = 1$ and the transformation $x = X, y = -Y$ takes the system with parameter $\gamma_2$ to the system with parameter $-\gamma_2$. So we may also assume $\gamma_2 \geq 0$.

Considering the finite singularities, if $\gamma_2 \notin \{0, 1\}$ system (7.4) has three finite singularities, namely $z_1 = (0, 1), z_2 = (1, -1/\gamma_2)$ and $z_3 = (\gamma_2^2 + 1/\gamma_2^2, -2\gamma_2^2 \gamma_2)$. The eigenvalues associated to $z_1$ are 2 and 1, if $\beta_2 \neq 0$, the eigenvalues associated to $z_2$ are $-1$ and 1 and the eigenvalues of $z_3$ are $-1$ and $-2$. So for $\gamma_2 \notin \{0, 1\}$ $z_1, z_2$ and $z_3$ are respectively, an unstable node, a saddle and a stable node. When $\beta_2 = 0$ we have only $z_1$ and $z_3$ as finite singularities.

In the local chart $U_1$ the compactified system is

$$\dot{u} = v(-\gamma_2 + \gamma_2 u^2 + uv + \gamma_2 v), \quad \dot{v} = v(v - 1)(\gamma_2 u + v + 1),$$

(7.5)

so $v$ is a common factor, this means that $v = 0$ is a line of singular points. Eliminating the common factor $v$, system (7.5) has no singular points if $\gamma_2 \neq 1$. Otherwise $u_1 = (-1, 0)$ is a singular point with eigenvalues $-2$ and $-1$, which implies that $u_1$ is a hyperbolic stable node. Moreover if $\gamma_2 = 0$ there an additional invariant straight line given by $x + 1 = 0$.

In the local chart $U_2$ the compactified system is written as

$$\dot{u} = -v(\gamma_2 - \gamma_2 u^2 + \gamma_2 uv + v), \quad \dot{v} = -v(\gamma_2 + \gamma_2 v^2 - \gamma_2 uv + u).$$

So applying a rescaling of the time to eliminate the common factor $v$, we obtain that the origin is a singular point of the compactified system if and only if $\gamma_2 = 0$. In this case $(0, 0)$ is a hyperbolic saddle.

It is easy to see that if $\gamma_2 \in (0, 1)$ the singularities $z_1$ and $z_3$ are in distinct branches of the hyperbola, and if $\gamma_2 \in (1, +\infty)$ they are in the same branch as shown in Figure 8.

![Figure 8. Possible phase portraits of system (7.4) when $\gamma_2 \notin \{0, 1\}$.](image)

From [9, Theorem 1.43] (Markus-Neumann-Peixoto Theorem) we conclude that these two phase portraits are topologically equivalent. By continuity and the study done previously we conclude that system of type (H.2) having a Darboux invariant can have three non-equivalent phase portrait. The case $\gamma_2 \neq 0, 1$ corresponds to HL.2.1 in Figure 2 and when $\gamma_2 = 1$ or $\gamma_2 = 0$ we have the phase portraits HL.2.2 and HL.2.3 of Figure 2, respectively.

Now we study the global phase portrait of system (H.3). Remember that the parameters of (H.3) must satisfy $c(\gamma + \beta) = 0$. We start considering $c = 0$, then the differential system is

$$\dot{x} = (A/2)(x^2 - y^2 - 1) - y(\alpha - \gamma x + \gamma y),$$

$$\dot{y} = (A/2)(x^2 - y^2 - 1) - x(\alpha - \gamma x + \gamma y),$$

(7.6)
which has \( f_1 = x^2 - y^2 - 1 = 0 \) and \( f_2 = y - x = 0 \) as invariant algebraic curves. Since \( \alpha \neq 0 \) we can take \( \alpha = 1 \) and the transformation \( x = -X, y = -Y \) allows to assume \( A > 0 \).

If \( \gamma \neq 0 \) then \( z_1 = (-A/2, -A/2) \) and \( z_2 = ((\gamma^2 + 1)/(2\gamma), (\gamma^2 - 1)/(2\gamma)) \) are the two finite singular points. If \( \gamma = 0 \) exists only one finite singular point.

The eigenvalues associated to \( z_1 \) are \(-1\) and \(1\) so \( z_1 \) is a saddle. The eigenvalues associated to \( z_2 \) are \(A/\gamma\) and \(-1\), so \( z_2 \) is a stable node if \( \gamma < 0 \), and a saddle if \( \gamma > 0 \). Moreover \( z_1 \) is on the straight line and \( z_2 \) is on the hyperbola.

In the local chart \( U_2 \), we have system
\[
\dot{u} = (1/2)(u - 1)(Au^2 - (A + 2\gamma)u^2 + 2uv + 2v + A + 2\gamma), \\
\dot{v} = (1/2)v(Au^2 - (A + 2\gamma)u^2 + 2\gamma u + 2uv + A),
\]
and the origin is a singular point only when \( A + 2\gamma = 0 \) but in this case the line \( v = 0 \) is filled up of singular points.

In the local chart \( U_1 \), we have system
\[
\dot{u} = (1/2)(u - 1)((A + 2\gamma)u^2 + Av^2 + 2uv + 2v - A - 2\gamma), \\
\dot{v} = (1/2)v(A + 2\gamma)u^2 + Av^2 + 2uv - 2\gamma u - A),
\]
which has the infinity filled up by singularities when \( A + 2\gamma = 0 \), otherwise, there are two singularities \( u_1 = (-1, 0) \) and \( u_2 = (1, 0) \).

Assuming \( A + 2\gamma \neq 0 \). The point \( u_1 \) has eigenvalues \( 2\gamma \) and \( 2(A + 2\gamma) \), and \( u_2 \) is linearly zero because the Jacobian matrix of the linear part of the system evaluated in \( u_2 \) is null. To decide the local behavior of \( u_2 \) we must have a blow up. From now on we fix \( l_1 = \gamma, l_2 = A + 2\gamma \).

After translating the singular point \( u_2 \) to the origin, making the change of coordinates \( u = U, v = UW \) and rescaling the common factor \( U \), we obtain
\[
\dot{U} = (1/2)U(AUW^2 + (A + 2\gamma)U + 2UW + 4W + 2A + 4\gamma), \\
\dot{W} = -W(W + \gamma).
\]
Note that such system has two singularities when \( l_1, l_2 \neq 0 \), namely, \( U_1 = (0, 0) \) and \( U_2 = (0, -\gamma) \); one singular point when \( l_1 = 0 \) and \( l_2 \neq 0 \), namely \( U_1 = U_2 \). The eigenvalues of \( U_1 \) are \(-\gamma \) and \( A + 2\gamma \), whereas the eigenvalues of \( U_2 \) are \( A \) and \( \gamma \).

From the combination of the signs of \( l_1 \) and \( l_2 \), as described in Figure 9, we obtain the possible local behavior of \( U_1 \) and \( U_2 \).

\[
\begin{align*}
(1) & \quad l_1 > 0 & \quad l_2 > 0 \\
(2) & \quad l_1 < 0 & \quad l_2 > 0 \\
& \quad l_1 < 0 & \quad l_2 < 0 \\
& \quad l_1 > 0 & \quad l_2 < 0
\end{align*}
\]

**Figure 9.** The possible combination of signs of \( l_1 \) and \( l_2 \) describe the cases to be considered for system \( (H.3) \) when \( c = 0 \).

After blowing down we obtain all possible phase portraits for system \( (H.3) \) when \( c = 0 \). Note that each one is realizable. Indeed, the phase portrait HL.3.2 corresponds to subcase (1.1) which is realizable with \( A = 4 \) and \( \gamma = -1 \); HL.3.3 corresponds to subcase (1.2) which is realizable with \( A = 1 \) and \( \gamma = -1 \). Notice that if \( \gamma \neq 0 \) there is a third invariant straight line, given by \( f_3 = \gamma(x - y) - 1 = 0 \) so HL.3.3 is the only possible phase portrait for subcase (1.2). The phase portraits HL.3.4 and HL.3.5 correspond, respectively, to subcases (2.1) and (3.1). The phase
portrait HL.3.4 is realizable with $A = 1$ and $\gamma = 1$, and HL.3.5 is realizable with $A = 1$ and $\gamma = 0$.

It remains to consider $l_2 = 0$. With this condition the infinity is filled up of singular points. After eliminating the common factor $v$ we have only one singular point at the local chart $U_1$. The eigenvalues associated to this point are 2 and 1, so this is an unstable node. By continuity the only possible phase portrait in this case is HL.3.1 of Figure 2 which is realizable with $A = 2$ and $\gamma = -1$.

Now considering system (H.3) with $\beta + \gamma = 0$ we have seen above that the system has a Darboux invariant when $\beta = \gamma = 0$ and $\alpha \neq 0$. Under these conditions the
differential system is
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= (A/2)(x^2 - y^2 - 1) - ay(1 - cx - cy), \\
\dot{y} &= (A/2)(x^2 - y^2 - 1) + ax(y^2 + 1) - ax(1 - cy).
\end{align*}
(7.7)

Such system has \( f_1 = x^2 - y^2 - 1 = 0 \) and \( f_2 = y - x + c = 0 \) as algebraic invariant curves. If \( c = 0 \) then we obtain system (7.6) when \( \gamma = 0 \), so we can take \( c \neq 0 \) here. Moreover, doing the transformation \( x = -X, y = -Y \) in the algebraic cubic we can assume \( c > 0 \). Finally, since \( \alpha \) is different from zero we can take \( \alpha = 1 \) in (7.7).

System (7.7) has two finite singular points, namely \( z_1 = ((2c - A)/2, -A/2) \) and \( z_2 = ((c^2 + 1)/(2c), (1 - c^2)/(2c)) \). Defining \( l_1 = c^2 - Ac - 1, \ l_2 = A - c \) and \( l_3 = A - 2c \), we have \( z_1 \) coalesces with \( z_2 \) if and only if \( l_1 = 0 \). Moreover the eigenvalues associated to \( z_1 \) are \( 1 \) and \( 1 \), and the eigenvalues associated to \( z_2 \) are \(-l_1\) and \( 1 \). So we conclude that \( z_1 \) is an unstable node and \( z_2 \) is a saddle if \( l_1 < 0 \); \( z_1 \) is a saddle and \( z_2 \), an unstable node, if \( l_1 < 0 \) and, if \( l_1 = 0 \), \( z_1 = z_2 \) is a saddle-node.

In the local chart \( U_1 \), system (7.7) becomes
\begin{align*}
\dot{u} &= \frac{1}{2}((A - 2c)u^3 - Au^2 + Au^2 - u(A - 2c) - v^2(A - 2c) + 2u^2v - 2v + A), \\
\dot{v} &= \frac{1}{2}v((A - 2c)u^2 + Au^2 - 2cu + 2uv - A),
\end{align*}
which has three singularities \( u_1 = (-1, 0) \) and \( u_2 = (1, 0) \) and \( u_3 = (\frac{A}{A - 2c}, 0) \), if \( A \neq 2c \). Note that when \( l_3 = 0 \) the point \( u_3 \) does not exist and \( u_1 = u_3 \) when \( l_2 = 0 \). The eigenvalues associated to \( u_1 \) are \( 2l_2 \) and \( 0 \), the point \( u_2 \) has both eigenvalues equal to \(-2c\), and \( u_3 \) has eigenvalues \( 0 \) and \( 2cl_2/l_3 \). It is not difficult to see that when \( l_2 \neq 0 \), \( u_1 \) and \( u_3 \) are saddle–nodes. In the local chart \( U_2 \) the origin \((0, 0)\) is a singular point if and only if \( l_3 = 0 \).

Assuming \( l_1l_2 \neq 0 \) and considering all possible combinations of the sign of \( l_1, l_2 \) and \( l_3 \) we observe that there are some impossible combinations, for instance when \( l_2 < 0 \) we have \( l_3 < 0 \). In Figure 11 we describe the possible combinations and introduce a label for each one.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{Figure11.png}
\caption{The possible combinations of signs of \( l_1, l_2 \) and \( l_3 \) for system (H.3) when \( c \neq 0 \).}
\end{figure}

The case (2.2.1) presents a unique phase portrait, HL.3.6 of Figure 2 and it is realizable with \( A = 1/2 \) and \( c = 1 \).

In case (2.1.1) we have three possibilities for the finite saddle separatrix \( \omega \)-limit set: we can have a connection of separatrix as in HL.3.7; the separatrix can go to the stable node, generating a phase portrait equivalent to HL.3.6, or the separatrix can go to the parabolic sector of the saddle node \( u_3 \) which corresponds to HL.3.8. Moreover HL.3.8 is realizable with \( A = 2 \) and \( c = 1/2 \), and as we see above, HL.3.6


is realizable with $A = 1/2$ and $c = 1$. Since HL.3.6 and HL.3.8 are realizable then by continuity of the parameters we conclude that HL.3.7 is also realizable.

The analysis of case (2.1.2) can be done as the case (2.1.1) and it has the phase portraits equivalent to them.

The possible phase portraits of (2.1.3) are also equivalent to the phase portraits of (2.1.1). Also the case (1.1.1) has a phase portrait equivalent to (2.2.1).

When $l_2 = 0$ it follows that $l_1, l_3 < 0$ and in the local chart $U_1$ the singular point $u_1 = u_3$ is non-elementary. After translate this singular point to the origin, making the change of coordinates $u = U$, $v = UW$ and rescaling the common factor $U$ we obtain

$$
\dot{U} = (U/2)(AUW^2 - AU + 2UW - 4W + 2A), \quad \dot{W} = W(W - A).
$$

This system has two singularities $U_1 = (0, 0)$ and $U_2 = (0, A)$ being both saddles.

Figure 12 shows the blow down.

To obtain the phase portrait for system (7.7) with $l_2 = 0$ we note that there is more two invariant straight lines, given by $f_3 = x + y = 0$ and $f_4 = Ax + Ay - 1$. The finite saddle $z_1$ is on $f_3 = 0$ and the finite node is on the intersection of $f_2 = 0$ and $f_4 = 0$ so by continuity there is only one phase portrait, which is topologically equivalent to HL.3.3.

Finally it remains to study the case $l_1 = 0$. Here $l_2 < 0$ and $l_3 < 0$ so the only possibility is the phase portrait HL.3.9 of Figure 2 which is realizable with $A = 0$ and $c = 1$.

To conclude the proof of Proposition 7.2 it remains to study the global phase portrait of system (H.4) when $A = 2\beta$ and $\alpha \neq 0$. In this case we assume $\alpha = 1$, so (H.4) is written as

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= \beta x^2 + (a\beta - \sqrt{a^2 - 1})xy + (a - \sqrt{a^2 - 1}\beta)y - \beta, \\
\dot{y} &= (a\beta - \sqrt{a^2 - 1})y^2 + \beta xy + (a - \sqrt{a^2 - 1}\beta)x + (a\beta - \sqrt{a^2 - 1}).
\end{align*}
$$

Denoting $\delta = a\beta - \sqrt{a^2 - 1}$ and $\eta = a - \sqrt{a^2 - 1}\beta$ there are at most three finite singularities $z_1 = (-\delta/\eta, \beta/\eta)$, $z_2 = ((\delta\eta - \beta)/(\beta^2 - \delta^2), (\delta\eta - \beta)/(\beta^2 - \delta^2))$ and $z_3 = ((\beta + \delta\eta)/(\beta^2 - \delta^2), -(\beta + \delta\eta)/(\beta^2 - \delta^2))$. We observe that such singular points never coalesce but if $\eta = 0$, $z_1$ does not exist and if $\beta^2 - \delta^2 = 0$ the same happens with $z_2$ or $z_3$. With respect to the localization of these points, $z_3$ is the intersection of the hyperbola and the straight line, $z_1$ is on the straight line and $z_2$ is on the
points, being \( z \) hyperbola. Moreover it is not difficult to check that \( z \) is a saddle, \( \eta \) a stable node and \( z_3 \) an unstable node.

Concerning to the behavior at infinity, in the local chart \( U_1 \) the compactified system is given by

\[
\dot{u} = v(\eta - \eta u^2 + \beta uv + \delta v), \quad \dot{v} = -v(\beta - \beta v^2 + \eta uv + \delta u),
\]

so \( v \) is a common factor what means that \( v = 0 \) is a line of singular points. Eliminating this common line it remains singularities if and only if \( \eta \) is a node with eigenvalues \( \eta \) and \( \delta \) is a node with eigenvalues \( \eta \) and \( 2\eta \). Finally if \( \delta = -\beta \) then the point \( u_3 = (1,0) \) has eigenvalues \( -\eta \) and \( -2\eta \) so it is a node.

In the local chart \( U_2 \), the system becomes

\[
\dot{u} = v(-\eta + \beta v + \eta u^2 + \delta uv), \quad \dot{v} = \delta u + \eta uv + \delta v^2.
\]

So eliminating the common factor \( v \) the origin is not a singular point.

By the previous study and continuity of the solutions we conclude that there exist three possible phase portraits and they are topologically equivalent to the ones obtained from system (H.2) and described in Figure 2. Indeed when \( \eta, \beta^2 - \delta^2 \neq 0 \) we have the phase portrait HL.2.1, when \( \beta^2 - \delta^2 = 0 \) we have HL.2.2, and the case \( \eta = 0 \) corresponds to phase portrait HL.2.3.

Before to study the systems of type (P), we present two lemmas that will help to show the realization or not of the phase portraits that follow.

**Lemma 7.3.** On any straight line which is not composed of orbits the total number of contact points is at most two for any quadratic system. If there are two such points \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \), then the orbits intersecting the segment \( \infty p_1 \) cross in the same sense as the orbits intersecting \( p_2 \infty \), and the opposite sense to the path intersecting \( p_1 p_2 \).

**Lemma 7.4.** The straight line connecting one finite singular point and a pair of infinite singular points in a quadratic system is either formed by trajectories or a line with exactly one contact point. If this contact point is the finite singular point, the flow goes in different directions on each half straight line.

The proof of Lemma 7.3 is in [8]. Lemma 7.4 in the case that the pair of infinite singular points are saddles is in [10]. When such a pair are saddle-nodes, the proof appeared in [11].

**Proposition 7.5.** Each real planar quadratic differential system with an invariant parabola and an invariant straight line having a Darboux invariant can be written, after an affine change of coordinates, as

(i) \( (P.1) \) with \( \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 \neq 0 \) and Darboux invariant

\[
I_7(x, y, t) = e^{(\alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2)t}(y - x^2)^{-1}x^2.
\]

(ii) \( (P.2) \) with \( \alpha_2(\beta_1 - \beta_2) \neq 0, \gamma_2 = c = 0 \) and Darboux invariant

\[
I_8(x, y, t) = e^{2\alpha_2(\beta_1 - \beta_2)t}(y - x^2)^{\beta_2}y^{\beta_1},
\]

(iii) \( (P.2) \) with \( c \gamma_2 \neq 0, \beta_2 = \beta_2, \alpha_2 = 0 \) and Darboux invariant

\[
I_9(x, y, t) = e^{-2c\gamma_2 t}(y - x^2)(y + c)^{-1},
\]

Moreover there are 41 non-equivalent phase portrait in the Poincaré disc for these systems. They are in Figures 3 and 4.
Proof. We fix the invariant parabola as $f_1 = y - x^2 = 0$. Here we describe in details the proof of the existence of a Darboux invariant for system (P.2), the other cases are analogous. System (P.2) is given by
\[
\dot{x} = -\beta_1(y - x^2) + y(\beta_2 + \gamma_2 x) + (\alpha_2 + \gamma_2 c)x + c\beta_2, \quad \dot{y} = 2(y + c)(\alpha_2 + \beta_2 x + \gamma_2 y),
\]
where $c\alpha_2 = 0$. If $c = 0$ then the additional invariant line is written as $f_2 = y = 0$ and if $\alpha_2 = 0$, such line is $f_2 = y + c = 0$.

System (P.2) has a Darboux invariant if there exist $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$ not all zero satisfying equation (3.3) with $s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, and $k_1, k_2$ being the cofactors of $f_1 = 0$ and $f_2 = 0$, respectively. For $c = 0$, $k_1 = 2(\alpha_2 + \beta_1 x + \gamma_2 y)$ and $k_2 = 2(\alpha_2 + \beta_2 x + \gamma_2 y)$. Equation (3.3), with $s \neq 0$ has the solution
\[
s = -2\alpha_2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2), \quad \beta_2 = -\beta_1 \lambda_1 / \lambda_2, \quad \gamma_2 = 0.
\]
Taking $\lambda_1 = \beta_2$ and $\lambda_2 = -\beta_1$ the solution can be rewritten as
\[
s = -2\alpha_2(\beta_2 - \beta_1), \quad \lambda_1 = \beta_2, \quad \lambda_2 = -\beta_1, \quad \gamma_2 = 0,
\]
and the Darboux invariant is
\[
I_8(x, y, t) = e^{2\alpha_2(\beta_1 - \beta_2)t}(y - x^2)^{\beta_2}y^{\beta_1}.
\]
In this case we assume $\beta_2 - \beta_1 \neq 0$ otherwise system (P.2) has a common factor. Moreover if $\alpha_2 = c = 0$ (P.2) does not admit a Darboux invariant.

When $\alpha_2 = 0$ then $f_2 = y + c$ and the cofactors of $f_1 = 0$ and $f_2 = 0$ are, respectively, $k_1 = 2(c\gamma_2 + \beta_1 x + \gamma_2 y)$ and $k_2 = 2(\beta_2 x + \gamma_2 y)$. In this case equation (3.3) has only one solution
\[
s = -2c\gamma_2 \lambda_1, \quad \beta_2 = \beta_1, \quad \lambda_2 = -\lambda_1.
\]
So taking $\lambda_1 = 1$ we obtain the Darboux invariant
\[
I_9(x, y, t) = e^{-2c\gamma_2 t}(y - x^2)(y + c)^{-1}.
\]

From now on we study the possible global phase portraits for systems (P) when they have a Darboux invariant. We start studying system (P.1). Remember that such system is given by
\[
\dot{x} = x(\alpha_2 + \beta_2 x + \gamma_2 y), \quad \dot{y} = \alpha_1(y - x^2) + 2\alpha_2 x^2 + 2y(\beta_2 x + \gamma_2 y).
\]
We consider two cases: $\gamma_2 \neq 0$ and $\gamma_2 = 0$. If $\gamma_2 \neq 0$ we assume $\gamma_2 = 1$. In this last case system (P.1) have at most four singular points, given by
\[
\begin{align*}
z_1 &= (0, 0), & z_2 &= (0, -\alpha_1/2), \\
z_3 &= \left( -(\beta_2 + \sqrt{\beta_2^2 - 4\alpha_2})/2, (\beta_2^2 - 2\alpha_2 + \beta_2\sqrt{\beta_2^2 - 4\alpha_2})/2 \right), \\
z_4 &= \left( -(\beta_2 - \sqrt{\beta_2^2 - 4\alpha_2})/2, (\beta_2^2 - 2\alpha_2 - \beta_2\sqrt{\beta_2^2 - 4\alpha_2})/2 \right).
\end{align*}
\]
Observe that applying the change of coordinates $x = -X, y = Y$ we can assume $\beta_2 \geq 0$. Let $l_1 = \alpha_1, l_2 = \alpha_2, l_3 = \beta_2^2 - 4\alpha_2 - \beta_2\sqrt{\beta_2^2 - 4\alpha_2}$ and $l_4 = \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2$ be. It follows from Proposition 7.3 (i) $l_4 \neq 0$. Moreover
\begin{itemize}
  \item $z_1$ has eigenvalues $l_1$ and $l_2$;
  \item $z_2$ has eigenvalues $-l_1$ and $-l_4$;
  \item $z_3$ has eigenvalues $l_4$ and $(\beta_2^2 - 4\alpha_2 + \beta_2\sqrt{\beta_2^2 - 4\alpha_2})/2$;
  \item $z_4$ has eigenvalues $l_3$ and $l_4$.
\end{itemize}
so $l_1^2 + l_2^2 \neq 0$ and the topological type of the finite singular points can be studied using the Hartman-Grobman Theorem and [9, Theorem 2.19].

With respect to the position of the finite singularities, $z_1$ is on the intersection of the parabola and the straight line, $z_2$ is on the straight line, and $z_3, z_4$ are on the parabola.

In the local chart $U_1$, system (P.1) is written as

$$\dot{u} = u^2 + \beta_2 u + (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)uw + 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_1, \quad \dot{v} = -v(\alpha_2 v + u + \beta_2),$$

which has at most two singular points when $v = 0$, namely

$$u_1 = (-\beta_2 - \sqrt{\beta_2^2 + 4(\alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2)/2}, 0), \quad u_2 = (-\beta_2 + \sqrt{\beta_2^2 + 4(\alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2)/2}, 0).$$

The eigenvalues associated to $u_1$ are $-\sqrt{\beta_2^2 + 4\lambda_i}$ and $-(\beta_2 - \sqrt{\beta_2^2 + 4\lambda_i})/2$ while the eigenvalues associated to $u_2$ are $\sqrt{\beta_2^2 + 4\lambda_i}$ and $-(\beta_2 + \sqrt{\beta_2^2 + 4\lambda_i})/2$.

Since we are assuming $\beta_2 \geq 0$ it follows that when $\beta_2^2 + 4\lambda_i > 0$ the point $u_2$ is a saddle and it is not difficult to see that if $\lambda_i > 0$, then $u_1$ is a saddle, and if $\lambda_i < 0$, $u_1$ is a stable node. When $\beta_2^2 + 4\lambda_i = 0$ $u_1$ and $u_2$ coalesce and we conclude that this point is a saddle-node, using [9, Theorem 2.19]. When $\beta_2^2 + 4\lambda_i < 0$ there is no infinite points in the local chart $U_1$.

In the local chart $U_2$ the origin $(0, 0)$ is a stable node.

Observe that $l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4, \beta_2^2 - 4\alpha_2$ and $\beta_2^2 + 4\lambda_i$ are bifurcation surfaces, i.e. where topological changes in the global phase portrait of (P.1) can happen. To draw all non-equivalent phase portraits of system (P.1) we split the study in three cases: $\beta_2^2 - 4\alpha_2 > 0$, $\beta_2^2 - 4\alpha_2 = 0$ and $\beta_2^2 - 4\alpha_2 < 0$.

Choosing a representative of each region defined by such surfaces we have a configuration of finite and infinite points. Considering the behavior of the separatrices of these systems we obtain all possible phase portraits when $\beta_2^2 - 4\alpha_2 > 0$, thus we obtain the 40 phase portraits described in Figures 13 and 14 and the phase portraits 41–50 of Figure 18. We study all these cases below.

Among the phase portraits 1–18 of Figure 13 we claim that 1 and 3, as well as 7 to 18, are not realizable. Indeed these 18 phase portraits, 1–3 present the possible combinations when the singular points in the local chart $U_1$ are both saddles. In the finite part we have $z_1$ and $z_3$ unstable nodes, $z_2$ is a stable node and $z_4$ is a saddle. So we have $l_1, l_2, l_4 > 0$ and $l_3 < 0$. In phase portrait 1 of Figure 13 consider the straight line joining the finite singular point $z_3$ to the infinity singular point $u_1$ as shows Figure 15. We can see that near the singular point $z_3$ but on opposite sides, the vector field has the same direction, which contradicts Lemma 7.4. So the phase portrait 1 of Figure 13 is not realizable. With the same argument the portrait 3 of Figure 13 is also not realizable. So phase portrait 2 of Figure 13 is the only realizable and corresponds to phase portrait PL.1.1 of Figure 3.

Considering the phase portraits 4–18 of Figure 13 we shall prove that 7–18 are not realizable. First consider the phase portrait 7 and the straight line joining the middle point between the infinity singular points $u_1$ and $u_2$ and the middle point between the finite singular points $z_3$ and $z_4$ as shows Figure 16. By Lemma 7.3 this line should have at most two points of contact with the vector field, which does not occur. In Figure 16 we can see at least four contact points, represented by the smaller points that are not singularities of the system. This fact guarantees that the $\omega$–limit set of $u_2$ is the finite point $z_4$ on the parabola. So phase portraits 7–18 are not realizable using similar arguments. So among the phase portraits 4–18
Figure 13. Phase portraits of system (P.1) when $\gamma_2 = 1$ and $\beta_2^2 - 4\alpha_2 > 0$.

only 4, 5 and 6 are realizable, which correspond, respectively to phase portraits PL.1.2, PL.1.3 and PL.1.4 of Figure 3. The values of the parameters that realize these systems can be found in Table 3.

The phase portraits 19–20 in Figure 13 and 21-26 in Figure 14 are topologically equivalent to one of the phase portraits 1–18 in Figure 13 so they can be realizable.
or not, depends on their configuration. In Table 1 we present the relation among the equivalent phase portraits of system (P.1) when $c \neq 0$. In the case where they are topologically equivalent to a realizable phase portrait, we need not consider the study again. However if they are topologically equivalent to a phase portrait which was not realizable, we need to study it.
Figure 15. The straight line joining the finite singular point $z_3$ to the infinity singular point $u_1$ in phase portrait 1 of Figure 13.

Figure 16. The straight line joining the middle point between the infinity singular points $u_1$ and $u_2$ and the middle point between the finite singular points $z_3$ and $z_4$ in phase portrait 7 of Figure 13.

Considering the same straight line used to prove the non-realization of phase portraits 7–18 of Figure 13 we apply Lemma 7.3 to conclude that 21, 22, 25 and 26 of Figure 14 are not realizable.

The phase portraits 27–31 in Figure 14 present all the possibilities when there are four finite singular points and one infinite singular point on the local chart $U_1$. Phase portraits 27–29 are realizable and correspond to phase portraits PL.1.5, PL.1.6 and PL.1.7 of Figure 3. The values of the parameters that realize these systems can be found in Table 2. Moreover 30 and 31 are topologically equivalent to one of these three phase portraits.

Finally if there are four finite singular points and the local chart $U_1$ has no singular point we obtain the phase portraits 32–36 in Figure 14. For phase portraits 32 and 33 of Figure 14 we consider the straight line $x = z_4$ where the finite singularity $z_4$ is $z_4 = (z_4^1, z_4^2)$, and apply Lemma 7.4 to see that they are not realizable (see Figure 17).

Figure 17. The straight line $x = z_4 = -(\beta_2 - \sqrt{\beta_2^2 - 4\alpha_2})/2$ in phase portrait 32 of Figure 14.
Table 1. Table of relations among all the possible phase portraits of system (P.1) when $c \neq 0$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase portrait</th>
<th>Topologically equiv.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Moreover the phase portraits 35 and 36 are topologically equivalent to the phase portrait 34 which is the only realizable phase portrait for this case and it is represented by PL.1.8 in Figure 3. The values of the parameters that realize this system can be found in Table 2.

For $\beta_2^2 - 4\alpha_2 > 0$ we consider the cases with three finite singular points. When $z_1 = z_2$ the origin is a saddle-node and there are ten possible phase portraits, namely 37–40 in Figure 14 and 41–46 in Figure 18. But since the nodal sector of the saddle node must have its orbits tangent to its separatrix, the phase portraits 37 and 38 in Figure 14 are not realizable. In other words the separatrices of the saddle-node $z_1$ must be on the invariant parabola. With the same argument the phase portraits 41, 42, 45 and 46 of Figure 18 also are not realizable. So when $z_1 = z_2$ the realizable phase portraits are 39, 40, 43 and 44 of Figure 18, corresponding to PL.1.9, PL.1.10, PL.1.11 and PL.1.12 in Figure 3, respectively. The values of the parameters that realize these systems can be found in Table 2.

When there are three finite singularities with $z_1 = z_4$ then by continuity we have the phase portraits 47–50 of Figure 18. All these for phase portraits are realizable and correspond, to PL.1.13, PL.1.14, PL.1.15 and PL.1.16 in Figure 3 respectively. The values of the parameters that realize these systems can be found in Table 2.

For $\beta_3^2 - 4\alpha_2 = 0$ there is another case with three finite singularities that correspond to the case $z_3 = z_4$. Here we can have ten phase portraits, given by 51 – 60 in Figure 18. The phase portraits 51, 52 and 55 are realizable and correspond, respectively, to PL.1.17, PL.1.18 and PL.1.19 in Figure 3. The values of the parameters that realize these systems can be found in Table 2. The phase portraits 53 and 54 are not realizable. The idea again is to use Lemma 7.3 with the straight line joining the origin of the local chart $U_3$ to the singular point $u_2$ of the local chart $U_1$. By Figure 19 and this lemma the phase portraits 53 and 54 are not realizable.

Considering the phase portraits 56 and 57 we will show that they are not realizable. Take the straight line passing through the origin of the local chart $U_1$ and the infinite singular point $u_1 = u_2$ (see Figure 20). The contact points on this straight line contradicts Lemma 7.4 so the phase portraits 56 and 57 are not realizable.
Figure 18. Phase portraits 41–50 corresponds to phase portraits of system (P.1) when \( \gamma_2 = 1 \) and \( \beta_2^2 - 4\alpha_2 > 0 \); Phase portraits 51–60 corresponds to phase portraits of system (P.1) when \( \gamma_2 = 1 \) and \( \beta_2^2 - 4\alpha_2 = 0 \).
Figure 19. The straight line connecting the origin of the local chart $U_3$ with the singular point $u_2$ of the local chart $U_1$ in phase portrait 53 of Figure 18.

About the phase portraits 58 and 59, considering the straight line passing through the points $z_1$ and $z_3$ we have Figure 21 that is a contradiction with Lemma 7.3. So they are not realizable. The phase portrait 60 is topologically equivalent to 50 of Figure 18.

Figure 20. The straight line connecting the origin of the local chart $U_3$ with the singular point $u_1 = u_2$ of the local chart $U_1$ in phase portrait 56 of Figure 18.

Figure 21. The straight line passing through the points $z_1$ and $z_3$ in phase portrait 58 of Figure 18.

If $z_3 = z_4$ and $z_1 = z_2$ we have the phase portraits 61, 62 and 63 of Figure 22. But using the straight line joining $z_1$ and $z_3$ as done in Figure 21 and applying Lemma 7.3 we see that 61 and 62 are not realizable. The phase portrait 63 is realizable and corresponds to PL.1.20 in Figure 3. The values of the parameters that realize this system can be found in Table 2.

For $\beta_2^2 - 4\alpha_2 < 0$ the points $z_3$ and $z_4$ are complex. The possible phase portraits are described by 64 – 72 of Figure 22. The phase portraits 64, 65, 68 and 71 are realizable and corresponds, respectively, to PL.1.21, PL.1.22, PL.1.23 and PL.1.24 of Figure 3. The values of the parameters that realize these systems can be found in Table 2. To prove that the phase portraits 66, 67, 69 and 70 are not realizable, it
is enough to consider the straight line passing through the origin of the local chart $U_3$ and the infinity singularity $u_1 = u_2$ of the local chart $U_1$ (see Figure 23). This straight line generates a contradiction with Lemma 7.4 so the phase portraits 66, 67, 69 and 70 are not realizable.

To end the case $\gamma_2 = 1$ we consider the case where there is only one finite singular point. Using [9, Theorem 2.19] we can see that the point is a saddle, which generates phase portrait 72 of Figure 22 which corresponds to phase portrait
PL.1.25 of Figure 4. The values of the parameters that realize this system can be found in Table 2.

Now we consider the case \( \gamma_2 = 0 \). The system is

\[
\dot{x} = x(\alpha_2 + \beta_2 x), \quad \dot{y} = \alpha_1(y - x^2) + 2x(\alpha_2 x + \beta_2 y).
\]

When \( \alpha_1 = 0 \) such system has a common factor so assume \( \alpha_1 = 1 \). By the change \( x = -X, y = Y \) it is enough to consider the case \( \beta_2 \geq 0 \). Assuming \( \beta_2 > 0 \). In the finite part the points \( z_1 = (0,0) \) and \( z_2 = (\alpha_2/\beta_2, (\alpha_2/\beta_2)^2) \) are the singular points and the system has an additional invariant straight line, given by \( f_3 = x + \alpha_2/\beta_2 = 0 \). Defining \( l_1 = \alpha_2 \) and \( l_2 = 1 - 2\alpha_2 \) the eigenvalues associated to \( z_1 \) are 1 and \( l_1 \), while the eigenvalues associated to \( z_2 \) are \( -l_1 \) and \( l_2 \). We assume \( l_2 \neq 0 \) (otherwise such system has a common factor and it is equivalent to a linear system).

In the local chart \( U_1 \) the unique singular point is \( u_1 = (l_2/\beta_2, 0) \) and it is a saddle. In the local chart \( U_2 \) the compactified system is

\[
\dot{u} = u((1 - 2\alpha_2)u^2 + (\alpha_2 - 1)v - \beta_2 u), \quad \dot{v} = v((1 - 2\alpha_2)u^2 - 2\beta_2 u - v).
\]

The origin \( (0,0) \) is a linearly zero singularity. Doing the blow up \( u = UV, v = V \) and rescaling by \( V \) we obtain the system

\[
\dot{U} = U(\alpha_2 + \beta_2 U), \quad \dot{V} = V((1 - 2\alpha_2 U^2 V) - 2\beta_2 U - 1).
\]

When \( V = 0 \) the singularities are \( \pi_1 = (0,0) \) and \( \pi_2 = (\alpha_2/\beta_2, 0) \). The eigenvalues associated to \( \pi_1 \) are \( -1 \) and \( l_1 \) while the eigenvalues of \( \pi_2 \) are \( -l_1 \) and \( -l_2 \). The blowing down process is described in Figure 4(1)-(4) according to the signs of \( l_1 \) and \( l_2 \).

When \( \beta_2 = 0 \) the point \( z_1 \) is the unique finite singular point, being a saddle or an unstable node depending on the sign of \( l_1 \). In the local chart \( U_1 \) there is no singular point and the origin \( (0,0) \) of \( U_2 \) is linearly zero. To study such point we apply blow ups, in Figure 4 is described the blowing down \( (5) \) and \( (6) \).

Summarizing the study done previously we obtain the local behaviour at origin of \( U_2 \):

1. \( \beta_2 > 0, l_1 > 0 \) and \( l_2 > 0 \): the origin of \( U_2 \) has two elliptic sectors;
2. \( \beta_2 > 0, l_1 > 0 \) and \( l_2 < 0 \): the origin of \( U_2 \) has two hyperbolic sectors;
3. \( \beta_2 > 0, l_1 < 0 \) and \( l_2 > 0 \): the origin of \( U_2 \) has two elliptic sectors;
4. \( \beta_2 > 0, l_1 = 0 \) and \( l_2 > 0 \): the origin of \( U_2 \) has two hyperbolic sectors;
5. \( \beta_2 = 0, l_1 > 0 \): the origin of \( U_2 \) has two hyperbolic sectors;
6. \( \beta_2 = 0, l_1 < 0 \): the origin of \( U_2 \) has two elliptic sectors.

By continuity and the above analysis we conclude that the case (3) is topologically equivalent to case (1) and the cases (1), (2), (4), (5) and (6) correspond, respectively, to the phase portraits PL.1.26, PL.1.27, PL.1.28, PL.1.29 and PL.1.30 of Figure 4. Table 4 has the values of the parameters that realizes the phase portraits of system (P.1).

System (P.2) with \( c \neq 0 \) has a Darboux invariant if \( \gamma_2 \neq 0 \), and it can be written as

\[
\dot{x} = \beta_1(x^2 + c) + \gamma_2x(y + c), \quad \dot{y} = 2(y + c)(\beta_1 x + \gamma_2 y).
\]

Note that if \( \beta_1 = 0 \) such system has a common factor so we can assume \( \beta_1 = 1 \). Applying the change of coordinates \( x = -X, y = Y \) and rescaling the time we can assume \( \gamma_2 > 0 \).
Figure 24. Blow down of system (P.1) when $\gamma_2 = 0$. 
Table 2. Table of values for the parameters of system (P.1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>γ_2</th>
<th>β_2</th>
<th>α_2</th>
<th>α_1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PL.1.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL.1.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/16</td>
<td>1/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL.1.3</td>
<td>by continuity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL.1.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/16</td>
<td>1/150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL.1.5</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>3/64</td>
<td>1/32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL.1.6</td>
<td>by continuity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL.1.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-3/8</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL.1.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3/16</td>
<td>1/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL.1.9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/16</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL.1.10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL.1.11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/18</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL.1.12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3/16</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL.1.13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL.1.14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL.1.15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL.1.16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL.1.17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL.1.18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>3/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL.1.19</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL.1.20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL.1.21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL.1.22</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL.1.23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9/8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL.1.24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL.1.25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL.1.26</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL.1.27</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3/2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL.1.28</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL.1.29</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL.1.30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 25. Local phase portraits
If $c < 0$ the system has three finite singular points $z_1 = (-1/γ_2, 1/γ_2^2)$, $z_2 = (-√c, -γ_2)$ and $z_3 = (√c, -γ_2)$. Otherwise, only $z_1$.

Defining $l_1 = c \neq 0$ and $l_2 = 1 + c γ_2^2$ the eigenvalues associated to $z_1$ are $2γ_2 l_1$ and $l_2/γ_2$, the eigenvalues associated to $z_2$ are $-2√c$ and $-2(γ_2 c + √c)$; the eigenvalues associated to $z_3$ are $2/√c$ and $-2(γ_2 c - √c)$. So when $c < 0$ the point $z_3$ exists and it is an unstable node.

In the local chart $U_1$ we have two singular points $u_1 = (0, 0)$ being a hyperbolic saddle and $u_2 = (-1/γ_2, 0)$ being a saddle-node. In the local chart $U_2$ the origin is a stable node.

When $l_2 = 0$ then $z_1 = z_3$ is a semi-hyperbolic node and the infinity part does not change. Note that $z_1$ is a saddle-node in this case. So by continuity and the reasoning above, if $c > 0$ we have phase portrait $PL.2.1$ of Figure 4 which is realizable with $c = γ_2 = 1$. When $c < 0$ and $l_2 \neq 0$ the system has two possible phase portraits, also described in Figure 4: $PL.2.2$ (realizable with $c = -1/2$ and $γ_2 = 1$) and $PL.2.3$ (realizable with $c = -2$ and $γ_2 = 1$).

Finally if $c < 0$ and $l_2 = 0$, we see that the line $y + c = 0$ is one of the separatrices of the saddle-node. So the only possible phase picture is $PL.2.4$ (realizable with $c = -1$ and $γ_2 = 1$).

Now we study the global phase portraits of systems (P.2) when $c = 0$ and they have a Darboux invariant. The differential system is

$$\dot{x} = -β_1(y - x^2) + β_2 y + α_2 x, \quad \dot{y} = 2y(β_2 x + α_2).$$

Since $α_2 \neq 0$ we take $α_2 = 1$. Moreover doing the change of coordinates $x = -X, y = Y$ we can assume $β_2 \geq 0$. The system has at most three finite singular points, namely, $z_1 = (0, 0)$ and $z_2 = (-1/β_1, 0)$ and $z_3 = (-1/β_2, 1/β_2^2)$. The point $z_1$ has eigenvalues 2 and 1, so it is an unstable node. On the other hand the topological type of $z_2$ and $z_3$ depends on the numbers $l_1 \doteq β_1$ and $l_2 \doteq β_1 - β_2 \neq 0$. Indeed the point $z_2$ has eigenvalues $-1$ and $2l_2/l_1$ and $z_3$ has the eigenvalues $-1$ and $-2l_2/l_1$.

In the local chart $U_1$ the system has $u_1 = (0, 0)$ as a singularity with eigenvalues $-l_1$ and $-l_3$, where $l_3 = β_1 - 2β_2$.

In the local chart $U_2$ the compactified system has the origin as a nilpotent singularity. This mean that the linear part of the system, evaluated in $(0, 0)$, is not null but their eigenvalues are both equal to zero. To classify this type of singular point we use Theorem 3.5 of [9]. This result use two functions, $F(u) = a_M u^M + o(u^M)$ and $G(u) = b_N u^N + o(u^N)$, defined from the differential system. In short the characterization is done using $a_M, b_N$ and the natural numbers $M, N$.

For the compactified system in the local chart $U_2$ these functions are

$$G(u) = \frac{-2(β_2 - 3β_2)}{l_2} u + \frac{5l_2}{l_2^2} u^2, \quad F(u) = \frac{2β_2 l_2}{l_2^2} u^3 + \frac{2l_2^2}{l_2^2} u^4.$$ 

So when $l_3 > 0$ the origin $(0, 0)$ is a saddle as in (b) of Figure 25. If $l_3 < 0$ the origins consists of one hyperbolic and one elliptic sector as in (a) of Figure 25.

By continuity, when $l_1 > 0$ and $l_3 > 0$ we have the phase portrait $PL.2.5$ of Figure 4 (realizable with $β_1 = 4$ and $β_2 = 1$). If $l_3 < 0$ we have the phase portraits $PL.2.6$ (realizable with $β_1 = 3/2$ and $β_2 = 1$) and $PL.2.7$ (realizable with $β_1 = 1/2$ and $β_2 = 1$) of Figure 4. Now if $l_1 < 0$ the only possibility is $l_3 < 0$ and we have the phase portrait $PL.2.8$ (realizable with $β_1 = -1$ and $β_2 = 1$) of Figure 4.
If \(l_1 = 0\) the point \(z_2\) goes to the infinity and collide with \(u_1\) becoming a saddle-node. Moreover \(l_3 = 0\) implies \(l_3 < 0\), so the origin of \(U_2\) has a hyperbolic and one elliptic sector. This case corresponds to phase portrait PL.2.9 of Figure 4 realizable with \(\beta_1 = 0\) and \(\beta_2 = 1\).

If \(\beta_2 = 0\) the point \(z_3\) goes to the infinity and collide with the origin of \(U_2\) becoming \((0, 0)\) a nilpotent saddle-node as (c) or (d) in Figure 23. Moreover the only possible phase portrait is given by PL.2.10 of Figure 4 realizable with \(\beta_1 = 1\) and \(\beta_2 = 0\).

Finally when \(l_3 = 0\) then the infinity if filled of singular points, without special singularities and the corresponding phase portrait is PL.2.11 of Figure 4 (realizable with \(\beta_1 = 2\) and \(\beta_2 = 1\)).

**Proposition 7.6.** Each real planar polynomial differential system with two invariant real lines that intersect at a single point and a third invariant straight line having a Darboux invariant can be written, after an affine change of coordinates, as

\[
(I) \quad (LV.1) \text{ with } \alpha(q - \beta) \neq 0 \text{ and Darboux invariant } \nonumber
\]

\[
I_{10}(x, y, t) = e^{\alpha(q - \beta)t} y^\beta x^{\beta - q + r}(y - x)^{-(\beta + r)},
\]

\[
(ii) \quad (LV.2) \text{ with } c = q = 0, p \neq 0 \text{ and Darboux invariant } \nonumber
\]

\[
I_{11}(x, y, t) = e^{-pt}xy^{-r},
\]

\[
(iii) \quad (LV.2) \text{ with } c = -1 \text{ and Darboux invariant } \nonumber
\]

\[
I_{12}(x, y, t) = e^{t}y(y - 1)^{-1},
\]

\[
(iv) \quad (LV.3) \text{ with } \alpha = -(\beta + 1), c \beta \neq 0 \text{ and Darboux invariant } \nonumber
\]

\[
I_{13}(x, y, t) = e^{-c \beta t} y^\beta x^{\beta - q + r}(y + ax + c)^{-1}.
\]

Moreover there are 27 non-equivalent phase portraits in the Poincaré disc. They are in Figure 4.

**Proof.** Let \(f_1 = x = 0, f_2 = y = 0\) be the two real straight lines intersecting in a point. Considering system (LV.1) the third line is \(f_3 = y - x\) and the cofactors associated to \(f_1, f_2\) and \(f_3\) are, respectively, \(k_1 = \alpha + ry + \beta x, k_2 = \alpha + y(\beta - q + r) + qx\) and \(k_3 = \alpha + y(\beta - q + r) + \beta x\). One solution for equation \(\lambda_1 k_1 + \lambda_2 k_2 + s = 0\) is

\[
\lambda_2 = \frac{\beta \lambda_1}{\beta - q + r}, \quad \lambda_3 = -\frac{(\beta + r)\lambda_1}{\beta - q + r}, \quad s = \frac{\alpha(q - \beta)\lambda_1}{\beta - q + r}.
\]

Taking \(\lambda_1 = \beta - q + r\) we obtain the Darboux invariant

\[
I_{10}(x, y, t) = e^{\alpha(q - \beta)t} y^\beta x^{\beta - q + r}(y - x)^{-(\beta + r)}.
\]

Now we analyze system (LV.2) that has \(f_3 = y + c\) as the third invariant straight line (remember that \(c = 0\) or \(c = -1\)). Here the cofactors are \(k_1 = p + qx + ry, k_2 = y + c\) and \(k_3 = y\). If \(c = 0\) then equation (3.3) has only one the solution

\[
q = 0, \quad \lambda_3 = -r\lambda_1 - \lambda_2, \quad s = -p\lambda_1.
\]

Taking \(\lambda_1 = 1\) we obtain the Darboux invariant

\[
I_{11}(x, y, t) = e^{-pt}xy^{-r}.
\]

Otherwise if \(c = -1\) then the more general solution is

\[
\lambda_1 = 0, \lambda_3 = -\lambda_2, s = \lambda_2.
\]
Taking \( \lambda_2 = 1 \) we obtain the Darboux invariant
\[
I_{12}(x, y, t) = e^t y(y - 1)^{-1}.
\]
The last case to be considered is system (LV.3) that has \( f_3 = y + ax + c = 0 \) as the third straight line. The cofactors are \( k_1 = -\alpha(y + ax + c) - y, k_2 = \beta(y + ax + c) + ax \) and \( k_3 = \beta y - a\alpha x \). Solving equation (3.3) we obtain the solution
\[
\alpha = - (\beta + 1), \quad \lambda_2 = - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2, \quad s = -c(\lambda_1 + \beta(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)).
\]
Taking \( \lambda_1 = 0 \) and \( \lambda_2 = 1 \) then we obtain the Darboux invariant
\[
I_{13}(x, y, t) = e^{-c \beta t} y(y + ax + c)^{-1}.
\]
We begin the study of the global phase portraits with systems (LV.1) when they have a Darboux invariant. Remember that if system (LV.1) has a Darboux invariant then \( \beta - q \neq 0 \) and \( \alpha \neq 0 \) so we can take \( \alpha = 1 \) getting
\[
\dot{x} = x(1 + \beta x + ry), \quad \dot{y} = y(1 + qx + (\beta - q + r)y).
\] (7.8)
Define \( l_1 = (\beta - q)/(\beta - q + r), l_2 = (\beta - q)/\beta \) and \( l_3 = (\beta - q)/(\beta + r) \). The finite part presents at most four singularities
- \( z_1 = (0, 0) \) with eigenvalues both equal to 1;
- \( z_2 = (0, -1/(\beta - q + r)) \) with eigenvalues \(-1\) and \( l_1\);
- \( z_3 = (-1/\beta, 0) \) with eigenvalues \(-1\) and \( l_2\);
- \( z_4 = (-1/(\beta + r), -1/(\beta + r)) \) with eigenvalues \(-1\) and \(-l_3\).

In the local chart \( U_1 \) the compactified system has two singular points, being \( u_1 = (0, 0) \) with eigenvalues \(-\beta\) and \(- (\beta - q)\) and \( u_2 = (1, 0) \) with eigenvalues \( \beta - q \) and \(- (\beta + r)\). Moreover in the local chart \( U_2 \) the origin \((0,0)\) is a singular point with eigenvalues \(- (\beta - q)\) and \(- (\beta - q + r)\). Thus when one of the finite singularities goes to infinity, it collides with \( u_1 \), \( u_2 \), or the origin of the local chart \( U_2 \).

When \( l_1, l_2 \) and \( l_3 \) are non-zero, the combinations between their signs generate the possible phase portraits of system (7.8). There are exactly three possible phase portraits, all of them described in Figure 5: LVL.1.1, realizable for \( \beta = 1, q = r = 0 \); LVL.1.2, realizable for \( \beta = 1, q = r = -2 \); LVL.1.3, realizable for \( \beta = 1, q = -r = 3/4 \).

Now we consider the case \( \beta = -r \neq 0 \). Here only the point \( z_4 \) goes to the infinity and collides with \( u_2 \) making it a semi hyperbolic saddle-node. There are two possible phase portraits, given by LVL.1.4 of Figure 5 (realizable with \( \beta = 1, q = r = -1 \)) and LVL.1.5 of Figure 5 (realizable with \( \beta = 2, q = 1, r = -2 \)). The cases where \( z_2 \) or \( z_3 \) goes to the infinity generate phase portraits equivalent to the previous ones.

Finally when two finite singular points go to the infinity (for example when \( \beta = -r \) and \( q = 0 \)), then there is only one phase portrait, given by LVL.1.6 of Figure 5. This last phase portrait is realizable for \( \beta = 1, q = 0 \) and \( r = -1 \).

Now we consider the systems (LV.2) when they have a Darboux invariant we split in two cases. First we consider the case \( c = -1 \), when the system is given by
\[
\dot{x} = x(p + qx + ry), \quad \dot{y} = y(y - 1).
\]
If \( q \neq 0 \) unless of the change \( x = X/q \) we can assume \( q = 1 \). Considering \( q = 1 \) and defining \( l_1 = p, l_2 = -(p + r) \) and \( l_3 = r - 1 \) the system has at most four finite singular points, namely
- \( z_1 = (0, 0) \) with eigenvalues \(-1\) and \( l_1 \);
• \( z_2 = (0, 1) \) with eigenvalues 1 and \(-l_2\);
• \( z_3 = (-p, 0) \) with eigenvalues \(-1\) and \(-l_1\);
• \( z_4 = (-p - r, 1) \) with eigenvalues 1 and \(l_2\).

In the local chart \( U_2 \) the origin \((0, 0)\) is a singularity with eigenvalues \(-1\) and \(l_3\). In the local chart \( U_1 \) the system has two singularities if \( l_3 \neq 0 \): \( u_1 = (0, 0) \) being a hyperbolic unstable node and \( u_2 = (1/l_3, 0) \) with eigenvalues 1 and \(1/l_3\). Hence if \( l_3 = 0 \) the point \( u_2 \) collides with the origin of \( U_2 \) making it a semi-hyperbolic singularity of type saddle node. By continuity and using all the possible combinations of the signs of \( l_1, l_2 \) and \( l_3 \), when \( q = 1 \) and \( l_3 \neq 0 \) we obtain the phase portraits LVL.2.1–LVL.2.7 of Figure \[3\]. When \( l_3 = 0 \), i.e., \( r = 1 \) has three possible phase portraits: LVL.2.8, LVL.2.9 and LVL.2.10 of Figure \[5\].

The values of the parameters that realize these systems can be found in Table \[3\]. Now it remains to study the case \( q = 0 \). Note that since the system cannot have common factors it follows that \( l_1 \) and \( l_2 \) are different from zero. When \( q = 0 \) both the finite part and the analyzes in the local chart \( U_2 \) remain almost the same. The only difference in the finite part is that the singularities \( z_3 \) and \( z_4 \) go to infinity. However in the local chart \( U_1 \) the compactified system is

\[
\dot{u} = -u((r - 1)u + (p + 1)v), \quad \dot{v} = -v(pv + ru).
\]

So the origin is a linearly zero singular point if \( l_3 \neq 0 \) and we apply the blow up doing the change of coordinates \( u = U, v = UW \). The new system is

\[
\dot{U} = -U^2((p + 1)W + r - 1), \quad \dot{W} = UW(W - 1).
\]

After eliminating the common factor \( U \) it remains two singular points on \( \overline{U} = 0 \): \( \overline{u_1} = (0, 0) \) with eigenvalues \(-1\) and \(-l_3\), and \( \overline{u_2} = (0, 1) \) with eigenvalues 1 and \(l_2\). Hence they are hyperbolic points and doing the blow down the origin of \( U_2 \) has (for \( l_3 \neq 0 \))

• two elliptic sectors if \( \overline{u_1} \) is a saddle and \( \overline{u_2} \) is a unstable node. This case corresponds to phase portrait LVL.2.11 of Figure \[3\];
• two elliptic sectors if \( \overline{u_1} \) is a stable node and \( \overline{u_2} \) is a saddle. This case corresponds to phase portrait LVL.2.12 of Figure \[3\];
• two parabolic sectors if \( \overline{u_1} \) and \( \overline{u_2} \) are both saddles and there is a saddle and a node as singular finite points. This case corresponds to phase portrait LVL.2.13 of Figure \[3\];
• two parabolic sectors if \( \overline{u_1} \) and \( \overline{u_2} \) are both saddles and there are two nodes as singular finite points. This case corresponds to phase portrait LVL.2.14 of Figure \[3\];
• six parabolic sectors if \( \overline{u_1} \) and \( \overline{u_2} \) are both saddles and there are two nodes as singular finite points. This case corresponds to phase portrait LVL.2.15 of Figure \[3\].

The last possibility when \( c = -1 \) is \( q = 0 \) and \( l_3 = 0 \). But when this happens the system has the infinity line \( v = 0 \) filled up of singular points. After eliminating the common factor \( v \), in the local chart \( U_1 \) the point \( u_1 = (0, 0) \) is a singular point, with eigenvalues \(-l_1\) and \(l_2\). In the local chart \( U_2 \), After eliminating the common factor \( v \), the origin is a singularity. By continuity the possible phase portraits are LVL.2.16 and LVL.2.17 of Figure \[5\]. In Table \[3\] we put the values of the parameters that realizes each one of the phase portraits described in Figure \[5\].
Table 3. Table of values for the parameters of system (LV.2) when \( c = -1 \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LVL.2.1</th>
<th>q</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LVL.2.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVL.2.3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVL.2.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVL.2.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVL.2.6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>-1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVL.2.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVL.2.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVL.2.9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVL.2.10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVL.2.11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVL.2.12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVL.2.13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVL.2.14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVL.2.15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>-1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVL.2.16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVL.2.17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1/2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Finally when \( c = 0 \) we obtain the differential system

\[
\dot{x} = x^2, \quad \dot{y} = y(p + rx),
\]

with \( p \neq 0 \). So we can take \( p = 1 \) and the system becomes a particular case of system (DL) of Theorem \( 2.3 \). The global phase portraits of this system will be done in the proof of Proposition \( 7.8 \) and the corresponding phase portraits of system (7.9) are described by DL.1, DL.2 and DL.3 of Figure 6.

To complete the proof of Proposition \( 7.6 \) we study the global phase portraits of systems (LV.3). When (LV.3) has a Darboux invariant the parameter \( \alpha \) must be equal to \( -(\beta + 1) \) so the differential system is

\[
\dot{x} = x(ax + \beta(y + ax + c) + c), \quad \dot{y} = y(ax + \beta(y + ax + c)).
\]

In the finite part there are three singular points, namely \( z_1 = (0, 0) \), \( z_2 = (0, -c) \) and \( z_3 = (-c/a, 0) \) (remember that \( a, c \neq 0 \)). Defining \( l_1 = c\beta \neq 0 \) and \( l_2 = c(\beta + 1) \neq 0 \), then the eigenvalues of \( z_1 \) are \( l_1 \) and \( l_2 \); the eigenvalues of \( z_2 \) are \( c \) and \( -l_1 \), and the eigenvalues associated to \( z_3 \) are \( -c \) and \( -l_2 \).

In the local chart \( U_1 \) the compactified system becomes

\[
\dot{u} = -cuv, \quad \dot{v} = -v(cv + \beta(u + cv + a) + a).
\]

Hence the line \( v = 0 \) is filled of singular points after eliminating the common factor \( v \) there are no singular points. The same happens in the local chart \( U_2 \). So by continuity the only possible phase portrait is LVL.3.1 of Figure 5, which is realizable for \( \beta = 1 \) and \( a = c = -1 \). \( \square \)

**Proposition 7.7.** Each real planar quadratic differential system with two parallel real invariant straight lines and a third invariant straight line having a Darboux
invariant can be written, after an affine change of coordinates, as system (RPL) and it has the Darboux invariant
\[ I_{14}(x, y, t) = e^{2t}(x + 1)(x - 1)^{-1}. \]

Moreover there are 17 non-equivalent phase portraits in the Poincaré disc for this system. They are described by RPL.1–RPL.17 in Figure 9.

Proof. Let \( f_1 = x + 1 = 0, f_2 = x - 1 = 0 \) and \( f_3 = y = 0 \) be the three invariant straight lines. The cofactors of \( f_1, f_2 \) and \( f_3 \) are, respectively, \( k_1 = x - 1, k_2 = x + 1, k_3 = \alpha + \beta x + \gamma y \). With these cofactors equation (3.3) with \( \lambda \) system that has two real parallel straight lines and a third real straight line as invariant straight lines also has a Darboux invariant. Taking \( \lambda = 1 \) we obtain the invariant
\[ I_{14}(x, y, t) = e^{2t}(x + 1)(x - 1)^{-1}. \]

To draw the possible global phase portraits, remember that the system is
\[ \dot{x} = x^2 - 1, \quad \dot{y} = y(\alpha + \beta x + \gamma y). \]

When \( \gamma \neq 0 \) we can take \( \gamma = 1 \) (indeed, just do the change \( x = X, y = Y/\gamma \)). So the system can present at most four finite singularities, namely, \( z_1 = (-1, 0) \), \( z_2 = (-1, \beta - \alpha) \), \( z_3 = (1, 0) \) and \( z_4 = (1, -\beta - \alpha) \). Define \( l_1 = \alpha - \beta \) and \( l_2 = \alpha + \beta \). The eigenvalues associated to \( z_1 \) are \(-2\) and \( l_1 \) while the eigenvalues associated to \( z_2 \) are \(-2\) and \(-l_1\). Moreover \( z_1 = z_2 \) when \( l_1 = 0 \). Analogously the eigenvalues of \( z_3 \) are \( 2 \) and \( l_2 \), while the eigenvalues associated to \( z_4 \) are \( 2 \) and \(-l_2\), with \( z_3 = z_4 \) when \( l_2 = 0 \). So in the finite part the system can have two, three or four singularities, depending on the values of \( l_1 \) and \( l_2 \).

In the local chart \( U_1 \) the compactified system has at most two singularities on the infinity line: \( u_1 = (0, 0) \) and \( u_2 = (1, -\beta, 0) \). Defining \( l_3 = \beta - 1 \) we see that \( u_1 = u_2 \) when \( l_3 = 0 \) and the topological type of these singularities depends on the sign of \( l_3 \). Indeed the eigenvalues associated to \( u_1 \) are \(-1\) and \( l_3 \) while the associated to \( u_2 \) are \(-1\) and \(-l_3\).

In the local chart \( U_2 \) we just need to check if the origin \((0, 0)\) is a singularity, which is true. It is a node, with the two eigenvalues equal to \(-1\).

So considering \( \gamma \neq 0 \) and combining all the possibilities of the signs of \( l_1, l_2 \) and \( l_3 \) we obtain the phase portraits RPL.1–RPL.10 of Figure 9. In Table 3 we put the values of the parameters that realizes each one of the phase portraits described in Figure 9.

If \( \gamma = 0 \) then \( z_2 \) and \( z_4 \) goes to the infinity and the compactified system in the local chart \( U_2 \) becomes
\[ \dot{u} = (1 - \beta)u^2 - \alpha uv - v^2, \quad \dot{v} = -v(\beta u + \alpha v). \]

Note that when \( l_3 = 0(\beta = 1) \) the line \( v = 0 \) is filled up of singular points, and when \( l_3 \neq 0 \) the origin \((0, 0)\) is a linearly zero singularity. Considering this case
first and applying the blow up $u = U, v = UW$ and dividing by $U$ we obtain the system

$$\dot{U} = -U(\beta + W^2 + \alpha W - 1), \quad \dot{W} = W(W - 1)(W + 1).$$

(7.10)

When $U = 0$ the singularities of (7.10) are $\overline{u_1} = (0, -1)$ with eigenvalues 2 and $l_1$, $\overline{u_2} = (0, 0)$ with eigenvalues $-1$ and $-l_3$, and $\overline{u_3} = (0, 1)$ with eigenvalues 2 and $-l_2$.

After blow-down we obtain the local phase portraits of the origin of $U_2$ which depend on the signs of $l_1, l_2$ and $l_3$. Doing all the combinations the origin of $U_2$ consists of:

- two elliptic sectors and parabolic sectors, see phase portraits RPL.11 and RPL.12 of Figure 6.
- two hyperbolic sectors and parabolic sectors, see phase portraits RPL.13 and RPL.14 of Figure 6.
- six hyperbolic sectors, see phase portrait RPL.15 of Figure 6.

Finally if we consider $\beta = 1$ and after eliminating the common factor $v$ the origin of the local chart $U_2$ is either a hyperbolic node or a hyperbolic saddle, described respectively by the phase portraits RPL.16 and RPL.17 of Figure 6. The Table 4 has the values of the parameters that realizes the phase portraits of Figure 6.

\[\Box\]

**Proposition 7.8.** Each real planar quadratic differential system with a double real invariant straight line and a third invariant straight line having a Darboux invariant can be written, after an affine change of coordinates, as system (DL), with $\gamma = 0$ and $\alpha \neq 0$, and the Darboux invariant is

$$I_{15}(x, y, t) = e^{-\alpha t} y x^{-\beta}.$$
Moreover there are 3 non-equivalent phase portraits in the Poincaré disc for this systems. They are described by DL.1–DL.3 in Figure 6.

Proof. Let \( f_1 = x = 0 \) be the double real invariant straight line. By the proof of Proposition 4.3 we know that the second invariant straight line is \( f_2 = y = 0 \). The cofactors of \( f_1 \) and \( f_2 \) are, respectively, \( k_1 = x, k_2 = \alpha + \beta x + \gamma y \). Equation (3.3) with \( s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \) has only one solution \( \gamma = 0, s = -\alpha \lambda_2, \lambda_1 = -\beta \lambda_2 \). Taking \( \lambda_2 = 1 \) and using this solution we obtain
\[
\dot{x} = x^2, \quad \dot{y} = y(\alpha + \beta x),
\]
with Darboux invariant \( I_{15}(x, y, t) = e^{-\alpha t}yx - \beta \).

To study the global phase portraits of systems (DL), since \( \alpha \neq 0 \) we can take \( \alpha = 1 \). The origin of the system is the only finite singularity, which is a saddle-node. For the infinity singularities we assume first that \( \beta - 1 \neq 0 \). In the local chart \( U_1 \) the origin is a saddle if \( \beta - 1 > 0 \), and a stable node if \( \beta - 1 < 0 \). In the chart \( U_2 \) the system becomes
\[
\dot{u} = -u((\beta - 1)u + v), \quad \dot{v} = v(\beta u + v),
\]
and the origin is a linearly zero singularity. Applying the blow up \( u = U, v = UW \) we obtain the system
\[
\dot{U} = -U^2(\beta - 1 + W), \quad \dot{W} = -UW,
\]
which after eliminating the common factor \( U \) has the origin as only singular point. If \( \beta - 1 > 0 \) the origin is a hyperbolic stable node and if \( \beta - 1 < 0 \) the origin is a saddle.

After blow down we obtain the local phase portraits of the origin of \( U_2 \) which depend on \( \beta \). When \( \beta - 1 > 0 \) the origin has two elliptic sectors and parabolic sectors, see phase portrait DL.1 of Figure 6. If \( \beta - 1 < 0 \) then there are two hyperbolic sectors and parabolic ones, see phase portrait DL.2 of Figure 6.

When \( \beta = 1 \) the infinity is filled up of singular points and in the local chart \( U_2 \) the origin is a stable node. The phase portrait is described by DL.3 of Figure 6. \( \Box \)

Proposition 7.9. Each real planar quadratic differential system with two parallel complex invariant straight lines and a third invariant straight line having a Darboux invariant can be written, after an affine change of coordinates, as system (CPL). A Darboux invariant is given by
\[
I_{16}(x, y, t) = e^t e^{\arctan(1/x)}
\]
Moreover there are 7 non-equivalent phase portraits in the Poincaré disc for this system. They are described by CPL.1–CPL.7 in Figure 7.

Proof. Let \( f_1 = x + i = 0, f_2 = x - i = 0 \) be the two complex parallel straight lines. By the proof of Proposition 4.3 we know that the third invariant straight line is \( f_3 = y = 0 \). The cofactors of \( f_1, f_2 \) and \( f_3 \) are, respectively, \( k_1 = x - i, k_2 = x + i, k_3 = \alpha + \beta x + \gamma y \). The equation (3.3) with \( s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \) has two solutions, namely
\[
\begin{align*}
s_1 & = \{ \gamma = 0, s = i(2\lambda_1 + (\beta + i\alpha)\lambda_3), \lambda_2 = -\beta \lambda_3 - \lambda_1 \} \\
s_2 & = \{ s = 2i\lambda_1, \lambda_2 = -\lambda_1, \lambda_3 = 0 \}.
\end{align*}
\]
Using \( s_2 \) (which is more general) we conclude that all systems with two parallel complex straight lines and a real straight line as invariants curves have a Darboux invariant. Moreover taking \( \lambda_1 = -i/2 \) we obtain

\[
I_{16}(x, y, t) = e^{i}(x - i)^{i/2}(x + i)^{-i/2}.
\]

Using the polar form of the complex numbers it follows that \((x - i)^{i/2}(x + i)^{-i/2} = e^{\text{arctan}(1/x)}\) so the Darboux invariant is \(I_{16}(x, y, t) = e^{\text{arctan}(1/x) + i}t\).

In [10] the authors already study the quadratic systems with \( f = x^2 + 1 = 0 \) as an invariant curve, given by \( x = x^2 + 1, y = Q(x, y) \), with \( Q \) an arbitrary polynomial of degree 2. In this paper we have \( Q(x, y) = y(\alpha + \beta x + \gamma y) \). So the system studied here is a subcase of systems (VI) in [10]. In [10] the study of those systems is divided in six cases and since we have the invariant straight line \( y = 0 \) there are seven possible phase portraits. The case (VI.1) provides the phase portraits 1 and 2 of [10] Fig. 1, i.e. the phase portraits CPL.1 and CPL.2 of Figure 7; the case (VI.2) gives the phase portrait 6 of [10] Fig. 1, i.e. the phase portrait CPL.3 of Figure 7; the case (VI.4) generates the phase portraits 16 and 17 of [10] Fig 1, i.e. the phase portraits CPL.4 and CPL.5 of Figure 7; the case (VI.5) gives the phase portrait 20 of [10] Fig. 1, i.e. the phase portrait CPL.6 of Figure 7; Finally the case (VI.6) provides the phase portrait 21 Fig. 1, i.e. the phase portrait CPL.7 of Figure 7.

**Proposition 7.10.** Each real planar quadratic differential system with two complex invariant straight lines that intersects in a real point and a third invariant straight line having a Darboux can be written, after an affine change of coordinates, as one of the following forms

(i) (p.1) with \( \alpha_3(\beta - 2\beta_3) \neq 0 \) and Darboux invariant

\[
I_{17}(x, y, t) = e^{\alpha_3(\beta - 2\beta_3)t} e^{-2\gamma_3 \text{arctan}(y/x)} (x^2 + y^2)^{\beta_3} y^{-\beta}.
\]

(ii) (p.2) with \( c \neq 0, \alpha = -1 \) and Darboux invariant

\[
I_{18}(x, y, t) = e^{-\alpha \text{arctan}(y/x) - ct}
\]

Moreover there are 5 non-equivalent phase portraits in the Poincaré disc for these systems. They are described by p.1.1–p.1.3 and p.2.1, p.2.2 in Figure 7.

**Proof.** Let \( f_1 = x + iy = 0 \) and \( f_2 = x - iy = 0 \) be the two complex straight lines that intersect at a real point. We have two systems, (p.1), with \( f_3 = y \), and (p.2) with \( f_3 = y + ax + c \). We shall do the calculations for (p.1), and for system (p.2) the computations are analogous.

We consider system (p.1) the cofactors of \( f_1, f_2 \) and \( f_3 \) are, respectively,

\[
k_1 = (1/2)(\beta x + 2\gamma_3 y + 2\alpha_3 - i(\beta - 2\beta_3)y),
\]

\[
k_2 = (1/2)(\beta x + 2\gamma_3 y + 2\alpha_3 + i(\beta - 2\beta_3)y),
\]

\[
k_3 = \alpha_3 + \beta_3 x + \gamma_3 y.
\]

Solving equation (3.3) the most general solution is

\[
\lambda_1 = \beta_3 + i\gamma_3, \quad \lambda_2 = \beta_3 - i\gamma_3, \quad \lambda_3 = -\beta, \quad s = \alpha_3(\beta - 2\beta_3).
\]

Hence assuming \( \alpha_3(\beta - 2\beta_3) \neq 0 \) system (p.1) of Theorem 2.3 has the Darboux invariant

\[
I_{17}(x, y, t) = e^{\alpha_3(\beta - 2\beta_3)t} y^{-\beta} (x - iy)^{\beta_3-i\gamma_3} (x + iy)^{\beta_3+i\gamma_3}.
\]
Using the polar form of the complex numbers it follows that
\[(x - iy)^{\beta_3 - i\gamma_3}(x + iy)^{\beta_3 + i\gamma_3} = e^{-2\gamma_3 \arctan(y/x)}(x^2 + y^2)^{\beta_3}\]
and we obtain the Darboux invariant
\[I_{17}(x, y, t) = e^{\alpha_3(\beta - 2\beta_3)t}e^{-2\gamma_3 \arctan(y/x)}(x^2 + y^2)^{\beta_3}y^{-\beta}\]
For system (p.2) the third invariant straight line is \(f_3 = y + ax + c\) with \(c \neq 0\). In this case the system has a Darboux invariant if and only if \(\alpha = -1\), and with the same reasoning applied above we obtain the invariant
\[I_{18}(x, y, t) = e^{-\arctan(y/x) - ct}.
\]

We start the study of the global phase portraits with systems (p.1). Since \(\alpha_3 \neq 0\) we can take \(\alpha_3 = 1\). Systems (p.1) have at most two finite singularities, namely \(z_1 = (0, 0)\) and \(z_2 = (-2/\beta, 0)\). When \(\beta = 0\) the point \(z_2\) goes to infinity. The point \(z_1\) is an unstable node and the eigenvalues associated to \(z_2\) are \(-1\) and \((\beta - 2\beta_3)/\beta\). So the point \(z_2\) is either a stable node or a saddle.

In the local chart \(U_2\) the origin is not a singularity for the compactified system. In the local chart \(U_1\) the system compactified has only one infinity singularity \(u_1 = (0, 0)\) with eigenvalues \(-\beta/2\) and \(-(\beta - 2\beta_3)/2\).

Then if \(\beta(\beta - 2\beta_3) > 0\), \(z_2\) is a saddle and \(u_1\) is a stable node and the only phase portrait is p.1.1 of Figure 7 realizable for \(\beta = 1\), \(\gamma_3 = 1\) and \(\beta_3 = -1/2\). If \(\beta(\beta - 2\beta_3) < 0\), \(z_2\) is a stable node and \(u_1\) is a saddle and the corresponding phase portrait of this case is p.1.2 of Figure 7 realizable for \(\beta = 1\), \(\gamma_3 = 1\) and \(\beta_3 = 3/2\). Finally if \(\beta = 0\) then \(z_2\) goes to the infinity and \(u_2\) becomes a semi hyperbolic saddle-node generating the phase portrait p.1.3 of Figure 7 which is realizable for \(\beta = 0\), \(\gamma_3 = 1\) and \(\beta_3 = 2\).

To study the global phase portraits of systems (p.2) we start with the infinity singular points. In the local chart \(U_1\) system (p.2) becomes
\[\dot{u} = -cv(u^2 + 1), \quad \dot{v} = -v(a\beta + au + c\beta v + \beta u - 1).
\]
So the line \(v = 0\) is filled up of singular points. The same happens in the local chart \(U_2\). In the finite part the point \((0, 0)\) is the only singularity, with complex eigenvalues. So the origin can be a node or a center. Both cases are described, respectively, by the phase portraits p.2.1, realizable with \(a = \beta = 1\) and \(c = 2\), and p.2.2, realizable with \(a = 1\), \(\beta = 0\) and \(c = 2\), of Figure 7.

Summarizing the nine propositions about quadratic systems with a invariant reducible cubic and a Darboux invariant, we present table 5. In this table we expose the relation between the normal forms and the phase portraits that can occur, as well as the Figure where the corresponding phase portrait is given in this manuscript.

By the end we prove Theorem 2.5. This result is about the differential systems having an invariant cubic but that do not have a Darboux invariant.

Proof of Theorem 2.5. First we consider systems of type (CE), i.e., the ones which has an invariant cubic of the form \(f = f_1f_2 = 0\) where \(f_1 = x^2 + y^2 + 1\) and \(f_2 = ax + by + c\). By Theorem 2.5 these systems can be written as
\[\dot{x} = -(x^2 + y^2 + 1) - 2a_1y(y + ax + c), \quad \dot{y} = a(x^2 + y^2 + 1) + 2a_1x(y + ax + c),
\]
with \(f_1 = x^2 + y^2 + 1\) and \(f_2 = y + ax + c\). The cofactors of \(f_1\) and \(f_2\) are \(k_1(x, y) = 2(ay + x)\) and \(k_2(x, y) = -2a_1(ay - x)\), respectively. So the cofactors
Table 5. Table of relations among all the normal forms and the possible phase portraits of systems which have a Darboux invariant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Normal form</th>
<th>Cond. for a Darboux invariant</th>
<th>Possible phase portraits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(E.2)</td>
<td>( c = -1, \beta_1 = 2\beta_2, \alpha_2 \neq 0 )</td>
<td>EL.2.1–EL.2.2 (Figure 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(H.2)</td>
<td>( c = -1, \gamma_1 = 2\gamma_2, \alpha_2 \neq 0 )</td>
<td>HL.2.1–HL.2.3 (Figure 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(H.3)</td>
<td>( c = 0, \beta = -\gamma, A\alpha \neq 0 )</td>
<td>HL.3.1–HL.3.5 (Figure 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(H.3)</td>
<td>( \beta = \gamma = 0, \alpha \neq 0 )</td>
<td>HL.3.6–HL.3.9 (Figure 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(H.4)</td>
<td>( A = 2\beta, \alpha \neq 0 )</td>
<td>HL.2.1–HL.2.3 (Figure 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P.1)</td>
<td>( \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 \neq 0 )</td>
<td>PL.1.1–PL.1.24 (Figure 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P.2)</td>
<td>( \beta_1 = \beta_2, \alpha_2 = 0, c\gamma_2 \neq 0 )</td>
<td>PL.2.1–PL.2.4 (Figure 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(L.2)</td>
<td>( c = q = 0, p \neq 0 )</td>
<td>PL.2.5–PL.2.11 (Figure 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(L.2)</td>
<td>( \alpha = -(\beta + 1), c\beta \neq 0 )</td>
<td>LVL.1.1–LVL.1.6 (Figure 5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(RPL)</td>
<td>always has a Darboux invariant</td>
<td>RPL.1–RPL.17 (Figure 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(DL)</td>
<td>( \gamma = 0, \alpha \neq 0 )</td>
<td>DL.1–DL.3 (Figure 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(CPL)</td>
<td>always has a Darboux invariant</td>
<td>CPL.1–CPL.7 (Figure 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p.1)</td>
<td>( \alpha_3(\beta - 2\beta_3) \neq 0 )</td>
<td>p.1.1–p.1.3 (Figure 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p.2)</td>
<td>( \alpha = -1, c \neq 0 )</td>
<td>p.2.1–p.2.2 (Figure 7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

have no constant terms, i.e., \( k_1(0,0) = k_2(0,0) = 0 \). The consequence of this is that equation (3.3) has no solution considering \( s \neq 0 \). Hence these systems do not have a Darboux invariant of the form \( e^{st} f_1^\lambda f_2^\alpha \).

The proofs for the other systems are very similar. In fact it suffices to observe that the cofactors of the invariant curves never have a constant term.
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